

SACSIM/05

Activity-Based Travel Forecasting Model for SACOG
Featuring *DAYSIM*—the Person Day Activity and Travel Simulator

Technical Memo Number 5
Intermediate Stop Location Models

July 31, 2006—Draft 2

Prepared for

Sacramento Area Council of Governments

Prepared by

John L. Bowman, Ph. D.

Transportation Systems and Decision Sciences

28 Beals Street, Brookline, MA 02446 USA

+1-617-232-3478 John_L_Bowman@alum.mit.edu <http://JBowman.net>

MARK BRADLEY

BRADLEY RESEARCH & CONSULTING

524 Arroyo Ave., Santa Barbara, CA 93109, USA.

+1-805-564-3908 mark_bradley@cox.net


Table of Contents

Introduction	4
Basic features of the intermediate stop model.....	6
Trip characteristic variables	8
Alternative attributes and estimation results	9
Impedance variables	9
Connectivity variables.....	12
Parking variables	13
Parcel size variables	13
Zonal density variables.....	15
Mixed use variables.....	16
Appendix 1—Intermediate Stop Model Estimation Results	17
Appendix 2—Sampling of alternatives for stop location choice.....	21
Importance sampling with replacement for MNL models—estimation procedure.....	21
Intermediate stop location sampling.....	22
Appendix 3—Application of model on estimation data.....	26

Introduction

This is the fifth in a series of technical memos being produced according to a work program in which Mark A. Bradley and John L. Bowman are developing the activity-based demand model components of a new travel demand forecasting model system for the Sacramento Area Council of Governments (SACOG), depicted in **Figure 1**. For a description of the entire model system, see memo 1 in this series, entitled Model System Design.

Figure 1: New SACOG Regional Travel Forecasting Model System



The current memo presents the estimation and preliminary validation results for the intermediate stop location model. Intermediate stops include all stops on the way to and from the primary destination of a tour, but do not include the primary destination itself. This model occurs within the DaySim portion of the model system, occurring at model step 4.2, as shown in bold in **Figure 2**. In a minor change from technical memo 1, the exact number and purpose of stops for each tour (model 4.1) is modeled at the tour level. Then, within each tour, the stops are modeled one-by-one, first for stops before the tour destination, and then for stops after the tour destination. Stops before the tour destination are modeled in reverse temporal sequence. First the stop's

location (4.2), and then its trip mode (4.3), and finally the 10-minute time period of the arrival at the tour destination (4.4) are modeled. These results also determine the time period in which the trip from the stop location begins, since the trip mode and travel level of service are known. This continues, constructing the trip chain from the tour primary destination to the tour origin in reverse chronological sequence until the model predicts no more stops (at which point, the “final” trip between the “last” stop and the tour origin is modeled). The reason for modeling in reverse chronological sequence for the first half tour is the hypothesis that people aim to arrive at the primary destination at a particular time, and adjust their tour departure time so as to enable completion of the desired intermediate stops. After the trip chain for the first half-tour is modeled, the trip chain for the second half-tour back to the tour origin is similarly modeled, but this time in regular chronological order.

Figure 2—DaySim models (numbered) within the program looping structure

Begin

```
{Read run controls, model coefficients, TAZ data, LOS matrices,
    population controls, and Parcel data into memory}
{Draw a synthetic household sample if specified}
{Pre-calculate destination sampling probabilities}
{Pre-calculate (or read in) TAZ aggregate accessibility arrays}
{Open other input and output files}
{Main loop on households}
  {Loop on persons in HH}
    {Apply model 1.1 Work Location for workers}
    {Apply model 1.2 School Location for students}
    {Apply model 1.1 Work Location for students}
  {End loop on persons in HH}
  {Apply model 1.3 Household Auto Availability }
  {Loop on all persons within HH}
    {Apply model 2.1 Activity Pattern (0/1+ tours and 0/1+ stops)
      and model 2.2 Exact Number of Tours for 7 purposes}
    {Count total home-based tours and assign purposes}
    {Initialize tour and stop counters and time window for the person-day before looping on tours}
    {If there are tours, loop on home-based tours within person in tour priority sequence,
      with tour priority determined by purpose and person type}
    {Increment number of home-based tours simulated for tour purpose (including current)}
    {Apply model 3.1 Tour destination}
    {If work tour, apply model 3.2 Number and purpose of work-based sub-tours}
    {Loop on predicted work-based sub-tours and insert then tour array after current tour}
    {Apply model 3.3 Tour mode}
    {Apply model 3.4 Tour primary destination arrival and departure times}
    {Loop on tour halves (before and after primary activity)}
      {Apply model 4.1 Half tour stop frequency and purpose}
      {Loop on trips within home-based half tour (in reverse temporal order for 1st tour half)}
        {Increment number of stops simulated for stop purpose (including current)}
        {Apply model 4.2 Intermediate stop location}
        {Apply model 4.3 Trip mode}
        {Apply model 4.4 Intermediate stop departure time}
        {Update the remaining time window}
      {End loop on trips within half tour}
    {End loop on tour halves}
  {End loop on tours within person}
  {Write output records for person-day and all tours and trips}
{End loop on persons within household}
{End loop on Households}
{Close files}
{Create usual work location flow validation statistics}
```

End.

Basic features of the intermediate stop model

What is known and not known when location is modeled. At the time that a particular stop's location is modeled, information about the tour (origin, destination, time period arriving and departing the primary destination, and tour mode) are known, and can be used to explain the location choice. The number of stops in each half-tour and their purposes are known. Additionally, details about any stops nearer to the primary destination are also known, including the location, trip mode, and the 10-minute time period of departure toward the tour destination (or arrival from the tour destination on the second half-tour).

However, at the time a stop's destination is modeled, several things are NOT known. These include the trip mode for the trip between this stop and the stop nearer to the tour destination, and the departure and arrival times of that trip, which will be modeled immediately after this stop's location. The arrival time from the stop nearer to the tour origin (or departure time to that stop on second half-tour) is also not known because it will be modeled along with stop location and trip mode for the next stop further from the tour origin.

As a result of this modeling approach, two known locations serve as anchor points for calculating travel impedance. These are the stop location immediately toward the tour destination (the tour destination itself for the first stop in a half-tour), which we call the **stop origin**, and the **tour origin**.

Parcel as dependent variable. The dependent variable used in this model, as in all location choice models of the new SACOG model system, is the parcel rather than the TAZ. The parcel is used in order to capture as well as possible the effect on activity and travel choices of parcel-level land use and transportation system attributes that may be affected by public policy.

The parcel is such a small unit of geography that, in the parcel data, it is difficult to accurately associate attributes with parcels and to associate survey locations with the correct parcel. This is an important issue because errors in the data could introduce more noise, or even bias, than is in the zonal data. Errors in the data come from incompletely reported locations in the survey, geocoding based on imprecisely located TIGER shapes, and incomplete, inaccurate or aggregate base year parcel attribute information. Extensive efforts were made by SACOG staff and the consultants to make this data as accurate as possible.

Since over 700,000 parcels comprise the universal set of location choice alternatives, it is necessary to estimate and apply the stop location model with a sample of alternatives. For estimation, a sample of 100 parcels was used to represent the choice set for each observed choice. A randomly drawn subset of all parcels is used, with appropriate weighting, to represent the entire set of available parcels. The procedure uses importance sampling with replacement, in three stages: stratum, TAZ and parcel. Each stratum represents a particular band of impedance levels, and strata are sampled in proportion to their observed frequency of choice in the survey sample for a given type of intermediate stop. Strata include the tour origin TAZ, the stop origin TAZ, and three concentric ellipses surrounding those two points, with the size of the ellipses depending on stop characteristics. Since the stratum sampling procedure accounts for the effect of impedance, TAZ are drawn randomly within stratum. Then, within TAZ, parcels are drawn in

proportion to their attracting size for the intermediate stop type. Details of the sampling procedure are provided in **Appendix 2**.

When the sample of parcels is drawn for estimation or application, infeasible destinations are excluded. Excluded parcels include those that lack the employment, school enrollment or households needed to accommodate the stop’s activity purpose, as well as those that are too far away in light of the available time, tour mode and stop purpose. The distance constraints are shown in **Table 1**. Constraints for large time windows come from empirical analysis of the household survey data, allowing for stops with distance from 20-50% greater than the greatest observed distance, depending on the survey sample size for the category. Because of small sample size, the constraints for stops with short time windows are based on judgment.

Table 1: Stop location parcel availability constraints by tour mode, stop purpose and available time window. Maximum XY distance in miles from stop origin through parcel and on to tour origin

Trip category	Available time window less than 1 hour	Available time window greater than 1 hour
Walk and bike tour modes	4 miles	35 miles
Motorized tour modes (by stop purpose)		
work	30	105
school	30	70
escort	40	120
personal business	30	80
shop	30	100
meal	20	70
social/recreation	20	150

Survey estimation data. The intermediate stop location model is estimated using all valid HH survey trip records with a destination other than the tour origin or destination. To be considered valid, the record must have identifiable tour mode, and valid parcels for the tour origin, tour destination, stop origin and stop destination.

Utility function. The model is a multinomial logit (MNL). Each alternative’s utility function consists of the sum of several utility terms and one size function. Each utility term consists of an estimated coefficient multiplied by an alternative attribute and a trip characteristic. The trip characteristic is a dummy (0/1) variable that says to which subset of trips the coefficient applies. The alternative attribute is either a scalar value or a dummy variable that is nonzero only for the applicable subset of alternatives. Each utility term measures one aspect of a parcel’s attractiveness for a given trip.

Size function. The size function also measures attractiveness of a parcel for a given trip. However, in this case the attractiveness depends on the parcel’s size, that is, its capacity for accommodating the stop’s activity purpose. The size function consists of several utility-like terms that are combined in the utility function in a form that corresponds with utility theory for aggregate alternatives. Although parcels are quite small, they must still be considered as aggregate alternatives because they have widely differing capacities for accommodating activities. For example, one residential parcel might include a large apartment building and another might have a single-family dwelling; the apartment building has a much larger capacity

for accommodating activities that occur in homes. A size function is used instead of a single size variable because the defined activity purposes and size attributes do not have a simple one-to-one correspondence. Rather, several attributes can indicate capacity for accommodating a given purpose. For example, personal business could be conducted at many types of places, such as restaurants, stores or office buildings. The estimated coefficients give different weights to different size variables for a given purpose, and a scale parameter captures correlation among elemental activity opportunities within parcel. Equation 1 shows the form of the utility function, with size function included:

$$V_{in} = \sum_{k=1}^{K^v} \beta_k x_{ink} z_{nk} + \mu' \ln \sum_{k=K^v+1}^{K^v+K^s} \exp(\beta_k) x_{ink} z_{nk} \quad (1)$$

where:

V_{in} is the systematic utility of parcel alternative i for trip n ,

K^v is the number of utility parameters,

K^s is the number of size parameters,

β_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots, K^v + K^s$ are the utility and size parameters,

x_{ink} is an attribute of parcel alternative i for trip n ,

z_{nk} is a characteristic of trip n ,

μ' is a scale parameter measuring correlation among elemental activity opportunities within parcels (1—no correlation, 0+--high correlation)

Trip characteristic variables

The following trip characteristics are used in the utility function, interacting with attributes so that the effect of attributes depends on the characteristics of the trip. They are all 0/1 indicator variables, with 1 corresponding to the identified trip type. In many cases, the variable z_{nk} above represents the interaction of two or more of the characteristics from this list. For example, in one case z_{nk} equals one only for shopping stops with auto tour mode.

Stop purpose

Work or school
University
Grade school
Escort
Personal business
Shop
Meal
Social-recreation

Tour mode

Auto

Non-auto
Auto drive alone
Auto shared ride 2
Auto shared ride 3+
Transit auto access
Transit walk access
Bike
Walk

Tour and trip characteristics

Multiple stops on half-tour
Secondary tour
Work-based tour
School tour
Work tour
Nonwork tour
Shop tour
Stop before work or school
First stop from tour destination
Not first stop from tour destination
Not last stop from tour destination

Person type and household characteristics

Female adult
HH with children
HH without children
HH income under \$50K
HH income over \$75K
HH income unreported (used in estimation only)

The most important characteristics are the tour mode and the stop purpose. The tour mode restricts the modes available for the stop, and this affects the availability and impedance of stop locations. The availability and attractiveness of stop locations depend heavily on the stop purpose. Tour characteristics also affect willingness to travel for the stop, and the tendency to stop near the stop or tour origin. The above characteristics tend to overshadow the effect of personal and household characteristics in this model.

Alternative attributes and estimation results

The following alternative attributes are used in the utility function.

Alternative sampling adjustment term (-lnq). This term is technically not a utility term, but rather it weights the alternative by the number of alternatives it represents as a result of the alternative sampling procedure.

Impedance variables

The impedance variables calculated for the intermediate stop model are based on the notion that the perceived impedance of an intermediate stop is a function of the time and cost along the path from the last prior known stop location to the intermediate stop location, and on to the first subsequent known stop location. It is assumed that the traveler forms their tour from the primary tour destination back toward the tour origin. For the first half-tour, this is in reverse chronological order. The reason for this is the hypothesis that people aim to arrive at the primary destination at a particular time, and choose their intermediate stop attributes so as to enable completion of the desired intermediate stops and still arrive at the primary destination on time. These assumptions affect the assumption of what is known when the intermediate stop choice is modeled. The known time and space anchors, used for measuring impedance, are the location and departure time from the stop nearer to the primary destination (or arrival time for second half-tour), and the location of the tour origin. Additionally, assumptions are made about the trip mode for each leg of the journey to and from the intermediate stop location, based on the known tour mode, the half-tour, and the proximity and connectivity of the stop location to the stop origin and tour origin.

Generalized time (100 minute units). The main impedance variable is generalized time. It combines all travel cost and time components according to the following assumptions:

Table 2: Assumptions used in calculation of generalized time

walk speed	3 mph
bike speed	8 mph
school bus travel time as multiple of SOV time	3
perceived auto operating cost	\$0.12 per mile
distance under which walk LOS is assumed	.25 mile
value of transit in-vehicle time, as multiple of value of auto IVT	1.0
value of walk time, as multiple of value of auto IVT	1.5
value of bike time, as multiple of value of auto IVT	1.5
value of wait time, as multiple of value of auto IVT	2.0
value of one transit boarding, in terms of auto IVT	7 minutes
value of traveler time, trips with HH income under \$15K/year	\$5/hr
value of traveler time, trips with HH income under \$15-50K/year	\$10/hr
value of traveler time, trips with HH income under \$50-75K/year	\$15/hr
value of traveler time, trips with HH income under \$75-100K/year	\$25/hr
value of traveler time, trips with HH income under \$100+K/year	\$37.50/hr
value of traveler time, trips with unreported HH income	\$10/hr

Generalized time is used, instead of various separately estimated time and cost coefficients, because the intermediate stop data is not robust enough to support good estimates of the relative values. Higher values of time were considered, and increasing them improved the model fit substantially, indicating that travelers are perhaps more time-sensitive for intermediate stops than for other travel. However, the lower values were retained because of FTA expectations. Higher values of walk, bike and wait time were also considered because of FTA expectations, but in this case the lower values were retained because of better model fit.

Generalized time is calculated by first calculating generalized time for the entire journey from the stop origin, through the stop location, and on to the tour origin, using the above assumptions and information about the known details of the tour and stop. It is then reduced by a distance-based factor to approximate the generalized time for only the detour to the stop location. Thus it might more appropriately be called generalized detour time.

Generalized detour time is further modified by discounting it according to the distance between the stop origin and the tour origin. The discount increases linearly from zero to 30% for distances between 0 and 30 miles, and remains at 30% for distances over 30 miles. This enables a single estimated coefficient to capture distance-based discounting. The discounting is based on the hypothesis that people are more willing to make longer detours for intermediate stops on long tours than they are on short tours. The hypothesis was tested by estimating the model with various discounting assumptions. Model fit improved with discounting and the best fit was with the assumptions of 30% and 30 miles.

Further mention of generalized time refers to discounted generalized detour time as described here.

Generalized time squared and **generalized time cubed**. These components allow for a nonlinear effect of generalized time.



Detour distance (miles) cubed. For transit tours, the generalized time variables and coefficients, as defined, and the imposed availability restrictions, don't adequately account for the tendency to avoid long intermediate stops, and the result is excessively large estimated sensitivity to generalized time. Therefore, for these stops, distance cubed is included as a variable. With it, the model fit improves and the elasticities come down to reasonable levels, as subsequently discussed.

Travel time as a fraction of the available time window. This variable captures the tendency to choose nearby activity locations if there are tight time constraints on the stop. If the stop occurs on the first half-tour (on the way to the primary tour activity) then the available time window begins at the beginning of the tour origin activity, and ends at the end of the activity immediately after the modeled stop or upon arrival at the primary destination. If it occurs on the second half-tour, then the available window begins at the beginning of the preceding activity or upon departure from the primary destination, and ends at the end of the subsequent tour origin activity. A similar variable was attempted that divided the available time window among all remaining stops on the half-tour, but it did not fit as well.

Proximity to stop origin (*prxs*), **proximity to tour origin** (*prxo*), (units of 1/(10 min): 1=10 min, .1=100min). *Prxs* is inverse travel time between stop destination and stop origin. It captures the tendency to stop near the stop origin. Analogously, *prxo* captures the tendency to stop near the tour origin.

Estimation results for the impedance variables. Appendix 1 provides the estimation results for all the coefficients in the intermediate stop model. Parameters 2-24 are the generalized time parameters, including the square and cubic components. Figures 3 and 4 graph the resulting

effect of generalized time for various trip purposes and tour modes. In all cases, the curve is s-shaped, with sensitivity to generalized time gradually diminishing up to a certain point, and then it increases again. Sensitivity is lower for work and school purposes, and higher for shopping, meals, and escorting (HH with kids). Sensitivity is higher for auto tour modes and lower for transit tour modes.



The distance cubed parameters (123 and 124) capture the tendency to distance-limit stops on transit tours and all escort stops.

Parameter 25 captures a tendency for shorter trips when they are constrained by a short time window.

Parameters 28-54 capture the tendency for trips of various types to occur near the stop origin (28-40) or tour origin (41-54). For example, parameter 41 indicates that trips have a tendency to occur near the tour origin, but parameter 48 nearly nullifies the effect if it is not the last stop before returning to the tour origin (on the second half-tour) or the first stop after departing from the tour origin (on the first half-tour).

Connectivity variables

These variables measure aspects of network connectivity in the vicinity of a parcel that impact its accessibility by non-auto modes.

Walk and transit both unavailable for 1 leg (0/1 indicator variable). A value of 1 indicates that the stop location is accessible by neither walk nor transit from either the stop origin or the tour origin, but it is accessible by walk and/or transit from the other.

Walk and transit both unavailable for both legs (0/1 indicator variable). A value of 1 indicates that the stop location is accessible by neither walk nor transit from the stop origin, and is similarly inaccessible from the tour origin.

Four-link density (n4lq). Number of road network nodes with 4 links within a quarter mile of parcel. A large value of this measure indicates a high degree of road connectivity.

Three-link density (n3lq). Number of road network nodes with 3 links within a quarter mile of parcel. A large value of this measure indicates a large number of nodes that are not fully connected.

Four-link ratio (n4sq, range 0-1). $(\# \text{ 4-link nodes}) / (\# \text{ 1, 3, and 4-link nodes})$ within a quarter mile. A large proportion of nodes with 4 entering links indicates a highly connected grid-type street network.

Dead-end ratio (n1sq, range 0-1). $(\# \text{ 1-link nodes}) / (\# \text{ 1, 3, and 4-link nodes})$ within a quarter mile. A large proportion of dead-end nodes indicates a lack of connectivity of the street network.

Estimation results. Parameters 55-58 show the expected strong tendency to avoid parcels that aren't connected by walk or transit to the stop and tour origins when the tour mode is transit with walk access. The effect is neither strong nor significant for transit with auto access because it is possible to make the stop during the auto portion of the tour. Although several variations of the other connectivity variables were tried, in an attempt to capture the effect of walkability on location choice for walk and bike tours, only the dead-end ratio captured the expected effect; it was retained (parameter 59) even though the result is not statistically significant.

Parking variables

The parking variables capture the coincidence of attractions and available parking.

Mix of hourly parking & employment in zone [$\ln(1 + \text{prkgdens} * \text{empldens} / (\text{prkgdens} + \text{empldens}))$]. A large value of this interaction variable indicates that the zone is very attractive for short-term activities and has parking available to match the attractiveness. A small value indicates that the zone lacks either attractions or parking or both. In the formula, dividing by the sum of parking and employment densities removes simple density effects that are accounted for by the density variables.

Mix of hourly parking & employment in parcel [$\ln(1 + \text{prkg} * \text{empl} / (\text{prkg} + \text{empl}))$]. This is like the zonal variable except it is an absolute measure, instead of density, and measures parking and employment on the parcel itself.

Estimation results. These effects are statistically very significant in the model. Availability of parking within the zone draws auto trips to parcels in zones with many attractions (parameter 60) although the effect is not quite as strong for auto drive alone mode. Availability of parking on the parcel itself draws auto trips to parcels with many attractions (parameter 62).

Parcel size variables

These are the variables that are included in the size function described above:

- Medical employment in parcel
- Service employment in parcel
- Retail employment in parcel
- Restaurant employment in parcel
- Industrial and other employment in parcel
- Government, office and school employment in parcel
- Total employment in parcel
- Number of households in parcel
- K-12 enrollment in parcel
- University enrollment in parcel

Estimation results. In the size function, one size variable serves as the ‘base’, setting the scale of the function, and parameters are estimated for all the other variables in the function, measuring their effect relative to the base. In the model, the size function differs by stop type. **Table 3** below shows the base size variable for each stop type, along with the other variables. It also identifies the effect of the other variables in the size function relative to the base variable, as estimated by parameters 89-121 in Appendix 1. For most stop types, only one size variable has a significant effect. This is a very good result, indicating that the stop types and size variables have been defined narrowly enough so that relative parcel size in the various categories clearly impacts modeled location choice.

Table 3: Size variables in the intermediate stop location model

Stop type	Base size variable	Other variables in size function	Effect of other variables relative to base
Escort (HH with kids)	K-12 enrollment	total employment households	.007 .001
Escort (HH with no kids)	total employment	K-12 enrollment university enrollment households	.875 .582 .066
Meal	restaurant employment	total employment households	.000 .000
Personal business	medical employment	service employment restaurant employment industrial and other employment gov., office and educ. employ. retail employment university enrollment households	.578 .110 .013 .075 .079 .114 .001
Grade school	K-12 enrollment	total employment households	.001 .000
University	university enrollment	total employment	.000
Shopping	retail employment	service employment medical employment total employment	.007 .000 .000
Social-recreation	service employment	retail employment medical employment total employment households	.126 .512 .068 .017
Work	total employment	none	

Zonal density variables

The attractiveness of a parcel can also be affected by employment, housing and school enrollment in the surrounding neighborhood. The zonal density variables, in a logarithmic form, capture these neighborhood effects:

- $\ln[1+(\text{medical empl}) \cdot 100/\text{Million Sq Ft (Msqft)}]$ in zone
- $\ln[1+(\text{service empl}) \cdot 100/\text{Million Sq Ft (Msqft)}]$ in zone
- $\ln[1+(\text{retail employment}) \cdot 100/\text{Msqft}]$ in zone
- $\ln[1+(\text{restaurant employment}) \cdot 100/\text{Msqft}]$ in zone
- $\ln[1+(\text{government+office+education empl}) \cdot 100/\text{Msqft}]$ in zone
- $\ln[1+(\text{industrial+other empl}) \cdot 100/\text{Msqft}]$ in zone
- $\ln[1+(\text{total employment}) \cdot 100/\text{Msqft}]$ in zone
- $\ln[1+(\text{\# households}) \cdot 100/\text{Msqft}]$ in zone
- $\ln[1+(\text{K-12 enrollment}) \cdot 100/\text{Msqft}]$ in zone
- $\ln[1+(\text{Univ. enrollment}) \cdot 100/\text{Msqft}]$ in zone

Estimation results. Zonal density effects are estimated only for non-mandatory purposes, under the hypothesis that work and school stops are determined strictly by the need to visit a particular location, regardless of its surroundings. For the other trip types, the following table summarizes

the estimation results, identifying the zonal density variables that attract stops to a parcel, and those with a negative effect. Only the most statistically significant effects are shown below. Parameters 64-88 in Appendix 1 show the strength and statistical significance of all these effects.

Table 4: Density variables in the intermediate stop location model

Stop type	Zonal density that attracts stops at parcel	Zonal density that repels stops at parcel
Escort (HH with kids)	K-12 enrollment	medical employment households
Escort (HH no kids)	gov., office and educ. employ.	households
Meal	medical employment	restaurant employment households
Personal business	gov., office and educ. employ. medical employment	service employment households university enrollment
Shopping	restaurant employment gov., office and educ. employ. service employment	industrial and other employment retail employment university enrollment
Social-recreation		households

Mixed use variables

Several variables were tried in the specification measuring the mix of housing and employment in the zone, in an effort to capture the attractiveness of parcels in mixed-use neighborhoods for intermediate stops. However, the variables failed to capture the expected effect and were dropped from the model. It may be because the size and impedance variables would already capture the tendency of mixed use developments to reduce trip lengths for intermediate stops.

Appendix 1—Intermediate Stop Model Estimation Results

Parm ID	Alternative attribute	Tour mode	Other stop characteristics	Est.	Std error	T stat	Unit value in generalized minutes (at 5th incremental min. of pbus stop on 30 mile auto tour)
1	Alt sampling adjustment term (-lnq)	all	generic	1.0000	0.00		
2	gen. time*(1-.3*min(1,(stopO-to-tourO mi.)/30)) (1 = 100 min.)	auto	generic	-16.6036	0.65	-25.57	131.073
3	gen. time*(1-.3*min(1,(stopO-to-tourO mi.)/30)) (1 = 100 min.)	non-auto	generic	-10.8646	1.22	-8.91	85.768
4	gen. time*(1-.3*min(1,(stopO-to-tourO mi.)/30)) (1 = 100 min.)	bike	generic	-2.8325	1.70	-1.66	22.360
5	gen. time*(1-.3*min(1,(stopO-to-tourO mi.)/30)) (1 = 100 min.)	walk	generic	-2.7519	1.58	-1.74	21.724
6	gen. time*(1-.3*min(1,(stopO-to-tourO mi.)/30)) (1 = 100 min.)	auto	work or school trip	3.1955	0.45	7.17	-25.226
7	gen. time*(1-.3*min(1,(stopO-to-tourO mi.)/30)) (1 = 100 min.)	auto	escort trip, HH no kids	1.2514	0.62	2.01	-9.879
8	gen. time*(1-.3*min(1,(stopO-to-tourO mi.)/30)) (1 = 100 min.)	auto	escort trip, HH w kids	-3.0392	0.63	-4.84	23.992
9	gen. time*(1-.3*min(1,(stopO-to-tourO mi.)/30)) (1 = 100 min.)	auto	shop trip	-2.6897	0.58	-4.68	21.233
10	gen. time*(1-.3*min(1,(stopO-to-tourO mi.)/30)) (1 = 100 min.)	auto	shop trip on shop tour	1.8873	0.96	1.96	-14.898
11	gen. time*(1-.3*min(1,(stopO-to-tourO mi.)/30)) (1 = 100 min.)	auto	meal trip	-2.4864	0.70	-3.53	19.628
12	gen. time*(1-.3*min(1,(stopO-to-tourO mi.)/30)) (1 = 100 min.)	auto	social rec trip	1.1758	0.44	2.66	-9.282
13	gen. time*(1-.3*min(1,(stopO-to-tourO mi.)/30)) (1 = 100 min.)	auto	HH inc. <\$50K per yr	0.6629	0.32	2.08	-5.233
14	gen. time*(1-.3*min(1,(stopO-to-tourO mi.)/30)) (1 = 100 min.)	auto	HH inc. >\$75K per yr	-1.0609	0.41	-2.58	8.375
15	gen. time*(1-.3*min(1,(stopO-to-tourO mi.)/30)) (1 = 100 min.)	auto	HH income unreported	1.0467	0.49	2.15	-8.263
16				0.0000	0.00		
17	gen. time*(1-.3*min(1,(stopO-to-tourO mi.)/30)) (1 = 100 min.)	auto	work-based tour	-0.6826	0.98	-0.70	5.389
18	gen. time*(1-.3*min(1,(stopO-to-tourO mi.)/30)) (1 = 100 min.)	auto	nonwork tour	-2.5611	0.33	-7.79	20.218
19				0.0000	0.00		
20	gen. time*(1-.3*min(1,(stopO-to-tourO mi.)/30)) (1 = 100 min.)	auto	female adult, HH w kids	-1.1905	0.44	-2.68	9.398
21	gen. time squared	auto	generic	14.8862	1.51	9.85	-117.515
22	gen. time squared	non-auto	generic	10.1420	2.24	4.54	-80.063
23	gen. time cubed	auto	generic	-5.7376	1.06	-5.39	45.294
24	gen. time cubed	non-auto	generic	-3.3206	1.04	-3.19	26.213
25	trav time as fraction of avail. time window (unit free ratio)	all	generic	-4.5915	0.67	-6.85	36.246
26				0.0000	0.00		
27				0.0000	0.00		
28	proxim. to stop O (10=1min, 1=10 min, .1=100min)	all	generic	0.0510	0.01	3.95	-0.403
29				0.0000	0.00		
30				0.0000	0.00		
31	proxim. to stop O (10=1min, 1=10 min, .1=100min)	all	escort trip, HH w kids	-0.1476	0.02	-7.27	1.165
32	proxim. to stop O (10=1min, 1=10 min, .1=100min)	auto 2	generic	0.0427	0.01	3.24	-0.337
33	proxim. to stop O (10=1min, 1=10 min, .1=100min)	auto 3+	generic	0.0623	0.02	4.06	-0.492
34	proxim. to stop O (10=1min, 1=10 min, .1=100min)	non-auto	generic	0.0728	0.03	2.47	-0.575
35	proxim. to stop O (10=1min, 1=10 min, .1=100min)	all	mult stops on halftour first stop from tourD	0.0661	0.01	4.77	-0.522

SACOG Activity-Based Travel Forecasting Model
 Featuring *DAYSIM*—the Person Day Simulator
 Technical Memo No. 5: **Intermediate Stop Location Models**

Parm ID	Alternative attribute	Tour mode	Other stop characteristics	Est.	Std error	T stat	Unit value in generalized minutes (at 5th incremental min. of pbus stop on 30 mile auto tour)
36	proxim. to stop O (10=1min, 1=10 min, .1=100min)	all	mult stops on halftour not first stop	0.0665	0.01	5.38	-0.525
37	proxim. to stop O (10=1min, 1=10 min, .1=100min)	all	secondary tour	-0.0382	0.01	-2.99	0.301
38	proxim. to stop O (10=1min, 1=10 min, .1=100min)	all	work-based tour	0.0974	0.03	2.85	-0.769
39	proxim. to stop O (10=1min, 1=10 min, .1=100min)	all	school tour	0.0408	0.02	2.32	-0.322
40	proxim. to stop O (10=1min, 1=10 min, .1=100min)	all	shop trip on shop tour	0.0691	0.02	3.63	-0.546
41	proxim. to tour O (10=1min, 1=10 min, .1=100min)	all	generic	0.2073	0.01	18.22	-1.637
42				0.0000	0.00		
43				0.0000	0.00		
44				0.0000	0.00		
45	proxim. to tour O (10=1min, 1=10 min, .1=100min)	auto 3+	generic	0.0402	0.01	2.79	-0.317
46				0.0000	0.00		
47				0.0000	0.00		
48	proxim. to tour O (10=1min, 1=10 min, .1=100min)	all	mult stops on halftour not last stop from tourD	-0.1974	0.02	-11.60	1.558
49	proxim. to tour O (10=1min, 1=10 min, .1=100min)	all	secondary tour	-0.0640	0.02	-4.08	0.505
50	proxim. to tour O (10=1min, 1=10 min, .1=100min)	all	work-based tour	-0.1726	0.06	-2.98	1.363
51	proxim. to tour O (10=1min, 1=10 min, .1=100min)	all	school tour	-0.1177	0.03	-4.57	0.929
52	proxim. to tour O (10=1min, 1=10 min, .1=100min)	all	shop trip on shop tour	0.0936	0.04	2.54	-0.739
53	proxim. to tour O (10=1min, 1=10 min, .1=100min)	all	escort trip on school tour	0.1427	0.03	4.13	-1.127
54	proxim. to tour O (10=1min, 1=10 min, .1=100min)	all	stop before W or S	0.0510	0.02	2.99	-0.403
55	walk and transit both unavailable for 1 leg	trans. A access	generic	-0.5956	0.40	-1.48	4.702
56	walk and transit both unavailable for 1 leg	trans. W access	generic	-1.8204	0.37	-4.92	14.370
57	walk and transit both unavailable for both legs	trans. A access	generic	-0.1579	0.72	-0.22	1.247
58	walk and transit both unavailable for both legs	trans. W access	generic	-3.0172	0.38	-7.97	23.819
59	Deadend ratio: (# 1 link nodes)/(# 1,3,4-link nodes) within a qtr mile	bike	generic	-0.6859	1.59	-0.43	5.414
60	Mix of hourly parking & employment in zone: $\ln(1+ \text{prkgdens} * \text{empldens} / (\text{prkgdens} + \text{empldens}))$	auto	generic	0.2711	0.02	15.75	-2.140
61	Mix of hourly parking & employment in zone: $\ln(1+ \text{prkgdens} * \text{empldens} / (\text{prkgdens} + \text{empldens}))$	auto 1	generic	-0.0959	0.03	-3.47	0.757
62	Mix of hourly parking & employment in parcel: $\ln(1+ \text{prkg} * \text{empl} / (\text{prkg} + \text{empl}))$	auto	generic	0.2645	0.05	5.84	-2.088
63				0.0000	0.00		
64	density: $\ln[1+(\text{gov}+\text{office}+\text{educ empl}) * 100/\text{Msqft}]$ in zone	all	escort trip, HH w kids	0.0438	0.03	1.40	-0.345
65	density: $\ln[1+(\text{service empl}) * 100/\text{Msqft}]$ in zone	all	escort trip, HH w kids	-0.0194	0.03	-0.71	0.153
66	density: $\ln[1+(\text{med. empl}) * 100/\text{Msqft}]$ in zone	all	escort trip, HH w kids	-0.0403	0.01	-3.25	0.318
67	density: $\ln[1+(\text{\# households}) * 100/\text{Msqft}]$ in zone	all	escort trip, HH w kids	-0.1594	0.02	-7.49	1.258

SACOG Activity-Based Travel Forecasting Model
 Featuring *DAYSIM*—the Person Day Simulator
 Technical Memo No. 5: **Intermediate Stop Location Models**

Parm ID	Alternative attribute	Tour mode	Other stop characteristics	Est.	Std error	T stat	Unit value in generalized minutes (at 5th incremental min. of pbus stop on 30 mile auto tour)
68	density: ln[1+(K-12 enrollment)*100/Msqft] in zone	all	escort trip, HH w kids	0.0725	0.01	7.38	-0.572
69	density: ln[1+(gov+office+educ empl)*100/Msqft] in zone	all	escort trip, HH no kids	0.1523	0.03	4.65	-1.202
70	density: ln[1+(# households)*100/Msqft] in zone	all	escort trip, HH no kids	-0.0837	0.03	-3.22	0.661
71	density: ln[1+(restaurant employment)*100/Msqft] in zone	all	meal trip	-0.1505	0.02	-6.79	1.188
72	density: ln[1+(service empl)*100/Msqft] in zone	all	meal trip	0.0121	0.05	0.27	-0.096
73	density: ln[1+(med. empl)*100/Msqft] in zone	all	meal trip	0.1436	0.02	6.81	-1.133
74	density: ln[1+(# households)*100/Msqft] in zone	all	meal trip	-0.1166	0.02	-6.36	0.920
75	density: ln[1+(K-12 enrollment)*100/Msqft] in zone	all	meal trip	0.0133	0.01	1.16	-0.105
76	density: ln[1+(gov+office+educ empl)*100/Msqft] in zone	all	pers business trip	0.1716	0.03	6.74	-1.355
77	density: ln[1+(service empl)*100/Msqft] in zone	all	pers business trip	-0.1353	0.02	-5.58	1.068
78	density: ln[1+(med. empl)*100/Msqft] in zone	all	pers business trip	0.0585	0.01	5.19	-0.462
79	density: ln[1+(# households)*100/Msqft] in zone	all	pers business trip	-0.1246	0.01	-10.55	0.984
80	density: ln[1+(Univ. enrollment)*100/Msqft] in zone	all	pers business trip	-0.1959	0.06	-3.29	1.547
81	density: ln[1+(restaurant employment)*100/Msqft] in zone	all	shop trip	0.0334	0.01	2.35	-0.264
82	density: ln[1+(indust.+other empl)*100/Msqft] in zone	all	shop trip	-0.1483	0.02	-7.13	1.171
83	density: ln[1+(gov+office+educ empl)*100/Msqft] in zone	all	shop trip	0.0844	0.03	3.27	-0.666
84	density: ln[1+(retail employment)*100/Msqft] in zone	all	shop trip	-0.1596	0.02	-7.54	1.260
85	density: ln[1+(service empl)*100/Msqft] in zone	all	shop trip	0.1087	0.03	3.52	-0.858
86	density: ln[1+(med. empl)*100/Msqft] in zone	all	shop trip	0.0015	0.01	0.14	-0.012
87	density: ln[1+(Univ. enrollment)*100/Msqft] in zone	all	shop trip	-0.2561	0.09	-2.82	2.022
88	density: ln[1+(# households)*100/Msqft] in zone	all	social rec trip	-0.1087	0.02	-4.95	0.858
122				0.000E+00	0.0E+00		
123	Detour XY distance (miles) cubed	non-auto	generic	-1.391E-04	6.2E-05	-2.25	0.001
124	Detour XY distance (miles) cubed	all	escort trip	-2.641E-06	6.7E-06	-0.39	0.000
999	Size function scale	all	generic	0.4241	0.01		Effect in size function relative to base
89	size: K-12 enrollment in parcel	all	escort, HH w kids (K-12 enr. base)	0.0000	0.00		1.000
90	size: total employment in parcel	all	escort, HH w kids (K-12 enr. base)	-4.9306	0.31		0.007
91	size: # households in parcel	all	escort, HH w kids (K-12 enr. base)	-6.8300	0.36		0.001
92	size: total employment in parcel	all	escort, HH no kids (tot. emp base)	0.0000	0.00		1.000
93	size: K-12 enrollment in parcel	all	escort, HH no kids (tot. emp base)	-0.1339	0.61		0.875
94	size: University enrollment in parcel	all	escort, HH no kids (tot. emp base)	-0.5408	1.69		0.582
95	size: # households in parcel	all	escort, HH no kids (tot. emp base)	-2.7130	0.28		0.066
96	size: restaurant employment in parcel	all	meal (rest. emp base)	0.0000	0.00		1.000
97	size: total employment in parcel	all	meal (rest. emp base)	-9.7707	0.39		0.000

SACOG Activity-Based Travel Forecasting Model
 Featuring *DAYSIM*—the Person Day Simulator
 Technical Memo No. 5: **Intermediate Stop Location Models**

Parm ID	Alternative attribute	Tour mode	Other stop characteristics	Est.	Std error	T stat	Unit value in generalized minutes (at 5th incremental min. of pbus stop on 30 mile auto tour)
98	size: # households in parcel	all	meal (rest. emp base)	-13.9595	0.51		0.000
99	size: medical employment in parcel	all	pers bus. (med. emp base)	0.0000	0.00		1.000
100	size: service employment in parcel	all	pers bus. (med. emp base)	-0.5484	0.26		0.578
101	size: restaurant employment in parcel	all	pers bus. (med. emp base)	-2.2102	0.47		0.110
102	size: (indust.+other employment) in parcel	all	pers bus. (med. emp base)	-4.3105	0.58		0.013
103	size: (gov+office+educ employment) in parcel	all	pers bus. (med. emp base)	-2.5962	0.27		0.075
104	size: retail employment in parcel	all	pers bus. (med. emp base)	-2.5442	0.38		0.079
105	size: University enrollment in parcel	all	pers bus. (med. emp base)	-2.1702	1.94		0.114
106	size: # households in parcel	all	pers bus. (med. emp base)	-7.2084	0.36		0.001
107	size: K-12 enrollment in parcel	all	school (K-12 enr. base)	0.0000	0.00		1.000
108	size: total employment in parcel	all	school (K-12 enr. base)	-7.4267	1.14		0.001
109	size: # households in parcel	all	school (K-12 enr. base)	-9.1030	0.90		0.000
110	size: University enrollment in parcel	all	university (univ enr. base)	0.0000	0.00		1.000
111	size: total employment in parcel	all	university (univ enr. base)	-11.4007	1.06		0.000
112	size: retail employment in parcel	all	shop (retail emp base)	0.0000	0.00		1.000
113	size: service employment in parcel	all	shop (retail emp base)	-5.0278	0.24		0.007
114	size: medical employment in parcel	all	shop (retail emp base)	-30.0000	0.00		0.000
115	size: total employment in parcel	all	shop (retail emp base)	-8.8193	0.33		0.000
116	size: service employment in parcel	all	social rec (svc. emp base)	0.0000	0.00		1.000
117	size: retail employment in parcel	all	social rec (svc. emp base)	-2.0679	0.90		0.126
118	size: medical employment in parcel	all	social rec (svc. emp base)	-0.6694	0.56		0.512
119	size: total employment in parcel	all	social rec (svc. emp base)	-2.6931	0.45		0.068
120	size: # households in parcel	all	social rec (svc. emp base)	-4.0941	0.30		0.017
121	size: total employment in parcel	all	work (total emp base)	0.0000	0.00		1.000
			Summary statistics				
			Number observed choices	7143			
			Number of estimated parameters	102			
			Log likelihood w coeffs=0	-32144.0			
			Final Log likelihood	-24114.3			
			Rho squared	0.250			
			Adjusted rho squared	0.247			

Appendix 2—Sampling of alternatives for stop location choice

This appendix describes the choice set sampling procedure used in the intermediate stop location choice model. This and the other destination choice models predict the choice of a particular parcel. This makes the universal choice set very large, and presents challenges to appropriately limit the number of alternatives considered when simulating choices.

The reduction of the universal choice set involves two conceptually different methods. The first method involves attempting to remove from the universal choice set those alternatives that the decisionmaker would not even consider in making the decision; they would appropriately be assigned a probability of zero. Examples of these include parcels that cannot be reached in the available time, and parcels that don't accommodate the desired type of activity. There is a behavioral basis for removing these parcels from the choice set, because there is no chance that they will even be considered.

The second method involves taking the remaining alternatives, that would all be reasonable alternatives for the decisionmaker to consider, and drawing a sample of them to actually use in simulating the choice. This is simply a procedural technique to reduce the computational burden of the model.

The procedures described in this paper employ both methods. The first method includes two aspects. First, each parcel is assigned purpose-specific sizes. For a given purpose, if a parcel has zero size, then it will be unavailable. Second, the approximate time required to reach a parcel is compared to an estimate of the available time. If the parcel can't be reached in time, then it is eliminated from consideration.

The second method uses a technique called importance sampling with replacement. The available alternatives are sampled in a way that allows the probability of being drawn into the sample to be calculated for each drawn alternative. Statistical procedures are then used during model estimation and application to allow the sample to represent the entire set of available alternatives without biasing the results.

The following material describes importance sampling with replacement, and then describes its implementation for intermediate stops, when the traveler is departing from one known location, stopping at an unknown location, then moving on to another known location.

Importance sampling with replacement for MNL models—estimation procedure (per Moshe Ben-Akiva, MIT course 1.205, Fall 1993)

The following procedure yields consistent MNL estimates:

Draw R times from the full choice set C with replacement and selection probabilities $q(j)$, $j = 1, \dots, J$. Let n_j , $j = 1, \dots, J$ be the number of times alternative j was drawn.

Add the chosen alternative. Set $\tilde{n}_j = n_j + \delta_{jc}$, $j = 1, \dots, J$, where $\delta_{jc} = 1$ for $j = c$ and 0 otherwise and c denotes the chosen alternative.

Create the set \tilde{D} as $\tilde{D} = \{j \in C \mid \tilde{n}_j > 0\}$

Estimate the following MNL: $\tilde{p}(i \mid \tilde{D}) = \frac{\exp[v_i - \ln(q(i) / \tilde{n}_i)]}{\sum_{j \in \tilde{D}} \exp[v_j - \ln(q(j) / \tilde{n}_j)]}$

Notes:

- a. This procedure has **not** been proven to yield consistent estimates for nested logit models.
- b. The correction factor expands the exponentiated utility of each sampled alternative by the inverse of the sampling probability, giving it the weight of all the unsampled alternatives it represents.
- c. The correction factor is not part of the true model. It is removed for model application with a full choice set. However, it is retained when simulating choices with a similarly generated sample of alternatives.
- d. In model application with a similarly generated sample of alternatives, it is not necessary to remove duplicates of sampled alternatives; instead, each occurrence of each alternative can simply be assigned $\tilde{n}_j = 1$. Statistically, the effect is identical; in one case there are \tilde{n}_j identical alternatives with probability p , and in the other there is one alternative with probability $\tilde{n}_j p$.

Intermediate stop location sampling

A key feature of intermediate stops that makes them different from tour destinations is that travel impedance is a function of three locations instead of two: the intermediate stop location, as well as locations before it and after it in the half tour. Accounting for different locations before and after the stop expands the number of relevant impedances geometrically, and makes it infeasible to use impedance-based weights for sampling at the TAZ level. Thus the intermediate stop sampling is done differently than tour destination sampling.

We model choices emanating from the tour destination, in reverse temporal sequence before the tour destination, and in regular temporal sequence after the tour destination. Therefore, the two known locations surrounding the modeled stop are the stop immediately nearer to the tour destination (subsequently called stop origin for convenience, even though on the first half tour it is actually the stop destination), and the tour origin.

The procedure uses importance sampling with replacement, in three stages: stratum, TAZ and parcel. The stratum sampling stage handles the effect of impedance in a way that is simple enough to make it feasible. Each stratum represents a particular band of impedance levels, and strata are sampled in proportion to their observed frequency of choice in the survey sample for a given type of

intermediate stop. The first two strata represent special TAZ that are particularly attractive for intermediate stops. The first stratum is the TAZ of the stop origin, and the second stratum is TAZ of the tour origin. The reason for giving these TAZ their own stratum is the fact that a disproportionate number of stops occur in them, perhaps due to familiarity effects. The third through fifth strata consist of the remaining TAZ in three bands of increasing distance, where distance is measured from the stop origin, through the potential stop location, and on back to the tour origin. TAZ are excluded from the strata if they have zero attracting size for the stop purpose or if they cannot be reached given the time constraints.

Since the stratum sampling procedure accounts for the effect of impedance, TAZ are drawn randomly within stratum. Then, within TAZ, parcels are drawn in proportion to their attracting size for the intermediate stop type.

To formalize, define the following notation:

r_l , $l = 1, \dots, L$, are the strata, with sampling probabilities $q(r_l)$

t_k , $k = 1, \dots, K$, are the TAZs with conditional sampling probabilities $q(t_k | r_l)$

j , $j = 1, \dots, J$, are the parcels with conditional sampling probabilities $q(j | t_k)$

The unconditional parcel sampling probabilities are therefore calculated as

$$q(j) = q(r_l)q(t_k | r_l)q(j | t_k).$$

There are five strata, defined as follows:

$$r_1 = \{t^{o_s}\}, M_{t^{o_s}}^{p^s} \geq \delta$$

$$= \{\}, \text{otherwise}$$

$$r_2 = \{t^o\}, M_{t^o}^{p^s} \geq \delta$$

$$= \{\}, \text{otherwise}$$

$$r_3 = \{t_k | d_{o_s,ko} < d_3, \tilde{d}_{o_s,ko} < d_{\max}, M_{t_k}^{p^s} \geq \delta, t_k \notin r_1, t_k \notin r_2\}$$

$$r_4 = \{t_k | d_3 < d_{o_s,ko} < d_4, \tilde{d}_{o_s,ko} < d_{\max}, M_{t_k}^{p^s} \geq \delta, t_k \notin r_1, t_k \notin r_2\}$$

$$r_5 = \{t_k | d_4 < d_{o_s,ko} < d_5, \tilde{d}_{o_s,ko} < d_{\max}, M_{t_k}^{p^s} \geq \delta, t_k \notin r_1, t_k \notin r_2\}$$

where:

t^{o_s} is the TAZ of the stop origin,

p^s is the stop purpose,

$M_t^{p^s}$ is the attracting size of TAZ t for the stop purpose,

δ is a small size, below which attracting size is considered equal to zero,

t^o is the TAZ of the tour origin,

$\tilde{d}_{o_s,ko}$ is impedance measured in direction of travel along the path from t^{o_s} to t_k to t^o ,
 $d_{o_s,o}$ is impedance measured in direction of travel along the path from t^{o_s} to t^o ,
 $d_{o_s,ko}$ is $\tilde{d}_{o_s,ko} - d_{o_s,o}$, the incremental impedance caused by the stop at t_k ,
 d_3, d_4, d_5 are impedance thresholds separating available stop locations into groups, and
 d_{\max} is the impedance beyond which stop locations are considered infeasible.

Strata impedance thresholds and sampling probabilities are selected at the time of the draw. This vector of parameters is chosen from a small set of such vectors, $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_h, \dots, \theta_H)$, with $\theta_h = (q_h(r_1), q_h(r_2), q_h(r_3), q_h(r_4), q_h(r_5), d_{3h}, d_{4h}, d_{5h}, d_{\max h})$. The selection of h depends on the values x^s , which are known characteristics of the tour and stop. θ are empirically derived to represent the full range of characteristics of all possible intermediate stop situations. TAZ are sampled randomly within strata, and parcels are sampled according to purpose-specific size-based importance within TAZ, as follows:

$$q(t_k | r_l) = 1 / n_l^t$$

$$q(j | t_k) = M_j^{p^s} / \sum_{j \in t_k} M_j^{p^s}$$

where

n_l^t is the number of TAZ centroids in r_l , and
 $M_j^{p^s}$ is the attracting size of parcel j for the stop purpose

The intermediate stop sampling procedure:

To draw a sample of stop locations for a give intermediate stop location choice situation, the draw proceeds as follows:

Set strata sampling probabilities. Select the strata impedance thresholds and sampling probabilities, θ_h .

Retrieve the TAZ sampling probabilities. For strata 3 through 5, retrieve the number of available TAZ in the stratum from a matrix, $n_l^t[\]$, containing these values precalculated for all possible combinations of stop origin TAZ, tour origin TAZ, impedance band, stop purpose, and maximum impedance. The inverse is the TAZ sampling probability within stratum.

Sample the strata. Sample the strata C times, according to their sampling probabilities, retaining the number of times each stratum is drawn, C_l .

Sample TAZ within strata. Draw from all TAZ randomly with replacement, keeping the first C_l for each stratum, until each stratum has reached its quota, C_l . Retain the TAZ ID and stratum of each drawn TAZ.

Sample parcels within TAZ. For each drawn TAZ, draw a random number between 0 and 1, and pass sequentially through its parcels in order of decreasing sampling probability, selecting the parcel at the point where the cumulative sampling probability exceeds the drawn random number. For each drawn parcel calculate and retain its unconditional sampling probability
 $q(j) = q(r_l)q(t_k | r_l)q(j | t_k)$.

Adjust sample (for estimation only). For estimation only, add the chosen parcel to the choice set (regardless of whether it was drawn randomly) and count the number of occurrences of each parcel. Retain only one copy of each distinct parcel ID, j , along with its unconditional sampling probability $q(j)$ and the number of times it was drawn, \tilde{n}_j

Appendix 3—Application of model on estimation data

This appendix provides statistical results from applying the model on the estimation data. Table A3.1 lists the trip characteristics, which are all 0/1 variables, with the value 1 indicating membership in the category. The second column gives the percentage of the sample in each category.

Tables A3.2 through A3.28 compare the observed and predicted distribution of travel time for various subsets of the trips (see column headings) under the base conditions used for model estimation. The comparison is made by identifying the number of intermediate stops (observed and predicted) falling into each of 5 travel time bands (see row headings in the left hand column), where travel time is the approximate additional travel time required when making the stop instead of proceeding directly from stop origin to tour origin. The estimated standard deviation of the observed choices is also provided, and the number of stars for a prediction indicates the number of standard deviations by which the predicted deviates from the observed.

The results are within 2 standard deviations in most categories. There are, however, a few fairly large categories where the prediction is off by 3 standard deviations. Most notable is an under-prediction of medium length stops (incremental travel time between 5 and 10 minutes). The model should be adequate for the initial implementation, but there is room for subsequent improvement by re-estimation with additional variables related to the problem categories.

Below the main table on each page, the predicted average value of ten intermediate stop attributes is also provided for each trip category. These attributes are:

ttim2	travel detour time (10ths of minutes)
gtim2	generalized detour time (10ths of minutes)
empm2	medical employment at stop parcel
emps2	service employment at stop parcel
empr2	retail employment at stop parcel
empf2	restaurant employment at stop parcel
empo2	government, office and education employment at stop parcel
hhld2	households at stop parcel
enrs2	grade school enrollment at stop parcel
enru2	university enrollment at stop parcel

This section of Table A3.3 is especially informative because it shows how effective the model is at matching trips of specific purposes with parcels that have appropriate levels of employment or enrollment of specific types.

Tables A3.29 through A3.35 examine elasticities calculated from a second application of the estimation data with all travel times increased by 10%. A so-called “range elasticity” is calculated. Range is calculated as the ratio of the predicted incremental travel time to the required incremental travel time for any given intermediate stop. The range elasticity is calculated as the percentage change in range divided by the percentage change in required travel time. It is calculated as arc

elasticity for a 10% across the board increase in travel time for all available modes to all available stop locations.

We expect range to decrease as required travel time increases (expect elasticity < 0), but not to the extent that the resulting predicted travel time actually decreases (expect elasticity > -.91). The elasticities are approximately -0.4 for work and university stops, -0.6 for school stops, and -0.8 for other purposes, except for escort stops in households with children, where the elasticity exceeds -0.9.

Table A3.1: Frequency of trip characteristics in estimation and test application sample

Total number of trip records is 7143.

Trip Charact- eristic -----	% of sample in category -----
work	4.54
wrksch	5.80
schg	.60
schu	.66
esnk	7.35
pbus	24.77
shop	24.19
meal	10.04
srec	11.10
auto	95.03
aut1	34.20
aut2	33.07
aut3	27.76
naut	4.97
trna	.62
trnw	2.73
bike	.90
walk	.73
am__	14.73
md__	51.04
pm__	24.26
ev__	9.97
mult	56.45
first	65.99
nfirst	34.01
last	64.92
nlast	35.08
sect	29.02
wbas	2.38
wrkt	33.49
bwork	12.74
nwrkt	66.51
scht	11.56
nwst	54.95
shsh	7.63
ftwk	41.47
ptwk	8.34
reti	15.83
nonw	14.08
univ	3.93
c16p	3.43
c515	9.10
cun5	3.81
fkid	18.68
comp	19.59
eskd	16.76
essc	3.35
bman	16.10
inclo	38.58
incvhi	23.11
inc66	7.01

SACOG Activity-Based Travel Forecasting Model
 Featuring *DAYSIM*—the Person Day Simulator
 Technical Memo No. 5: **Intermediate Stop Location Models**

Table A3.2: for pertype

	FT workr	PT workr	Re- tired	Non workr	Univ Stud	Driv Stud	Stud 5-15	Under 5	Total
No. Chsn	93.0	17.0	23.0	22.0	9.0	15.0	26.0	8.0	213.0
SD. Chsn	9.4	3.8	4.6	3.7	2.5	3.5	4.9	2.1	13.6
> 30 min	+	-	-	*-	*-	-	-	*-	*-
No. Pred	93.8	15.9	21.4	15.0	6.4	13.2	25.2	4.4	195.4
No. Chsn	429.0	78.0	141.0	131.0	44.0	33.0	83.0	47.0	986.0
SD. Chsn	19.7	8.8	12.4	11.7	5.8	5.7	9.8	6.5	31.0
10-30min	-	+	**+	**+	*-	+	**+	-	**+
No. Pred	414.3	84.3	164.9	149.2	36.9	34.0	104.7	45.0	1033.4
No. Chsn	470.0	82.0	162.0	170.0	38.0	31.0	108.0	35.0	1096.0
SD. Chsn	19.7	8.8	12.2	12.0	5.7	5.7	9.6	6.3	30.9
5-10 min	***-	-	-	*-	-	+	-	+	**-
No. Pred	406.4	80.9	157.0	153.5	34.5	35.3	100.3	41.2	1009.0
No. Chsn	552.0	137.0	283.0	223.0	47.0	53.0	144.0	64.0	1503.0
SD. Chsn	24.2	10.9	15.2	14.0	7.5	7.1	11.1	7.3	37.6
2-5 min	**+	-	**-	-	**+	+	-	*-	+
No. Pred	623.3	126.6	247.2	210.7	61.3	55.6	133.4	56.2	1514.2
No. Chsn	1418.0	282.0	522.0	460.0	143.0	113.0	289.0	118.0	3345.0
SD. Chsn	36.4	16.4	22.4	21.1	11.4	9.9	16.3	10.9	56.2
< 2 min	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+
No. Pred	1424.3	288.3	540.4	477.7	142.0	106.9	286.4	125.1	3391.0
No. Chsn	2962.0	596.0	1131.0	1006.0	281.0	245.0	650.0	272.0	7143.0
Total									
No. Pred	2962.0	596.0	1131.0	1006.0	281.0	245.0	650.0	272.0	7143.0
ttim2	58.4	55.7	52.2	51.5	50.8	73.0	70.4	54.6	57.4
gtim2	68.4	64.7	63.9	58.8	60.8	84.5	76.8	59.1	66.7
empm2	19.8	17.8	23.7	16.8	21.0	10.3	12.6	11.9	18.6
emps2	9.3	7.2	8.4	7.7	7.9	6.5	5.6	6.0	8.1
empr2	14.4	15.0	17.0	16.7	15.1	11.6	9.8	12.6	14.6
empf2	6.3	6.1	6.7	6.4	6.6	5.3	4.0	6.2	6.1
empo2	44.1	38.5	23.9	24.5	187.9	43.0	28.4	25.4	41.1
hhld2	1.6	1.6	1.5	1.4	1.7	1.5	1.6	1.8	1.6
enrs2	40.3	42.7	10.7	33.4	31.6	74.5	59.0	62.9	38.2
enru2	65.6	11.9	17.4	32.9	741.3	1.1	2.2	4.2	65.1

INFORMATION 571: root-Mean-Square-Error is 13.497

INFORMATION 572: number of **stars** in table is 22

SACOG Activity-Based Travel Forecasting Model
 Featuring *DAYSIM*—the Person Day Simulator
 Technical Memo No. 5: **Intermediate Stop Location Models**

Table A3.3: for trippurp

	Work	Grade	Univ	Esco	Esco	Pers	Shop	Meal	Soc	Total
	Sch	Sch		nokid	wkids	Bus			Rec	
No. Chsn	41.0	1.0	4.0	18.0	21.0	63.0	18.0	7.0	40.0	213.0
SD. Chsn	6.3	1.7	1.5	4.0	4.3	7.4	3.5	2.8	5.5	13.6
> 30 min	+	*+	*-	-	-	-	*-	+	*-	*-
No. Pred	42.8	3.4	2.3	16.9	19.4	57.6	13.8	8.3	30.8	195.4
No. Chsn	73.0	7.0	10.0	87.0	146.0	272.0	175.0	84.0	132.0	986.0
SD. Chsn	7.1	2.5	2.1	9.2	12.6	15.8	13.3	9.1	11.9	31.0
10-30min	**-	-	**-	+	*+	-	*+	*+	*+	*+
No. Pred	52.4	6.7	5.2	89.2	167.7	268.9	194.3	101.5	147.4	1033.4
No. Chsn	47.0	5.0	9.0	82.0	228.0	261.0	226.0	120.0	118.0	1096.0
SD. Chsn	6.9	2.4	1.7	8.4	13.3	15.0	14.9	9.4	10.9	30.9
5-10 min	+	+	***-	-	***-	*-	+	**-	+	**-
No. Pred	49.5	6.0	3.1	74.4	186.2	234.1	230.9	98.5	126.3	1009.0
No. Chsn	59.0	12.0	8.0	114.0	248.0	384.0	341.0	153.0	184.0	1503.0
SD. Chsn	7.6	3.0	2.8	10.7	15.6	18.9	18.2	11.7	12.4	37.6
2-5 min	+	-	*+	+	+	-	+	+	*-	+
No. Pred	60.0	9.6	11.3	120.9	256.4	376.2	354.5	163.4	161.8	1514.2
No. Chsn	104.0	18.0	16.0	224.0	554.0	789.0	968.0	353.0	319.0	3345.0
SD. Chsn	10.6	4.0	4.3	14.6	23.2	27.9	29.6	17.1	17.6	56.2
< 2 min	*+	-	***+	-	+	*+	*-	-	+	+
No. Pred	119.3	17.3	25.1	223.6	567.3	832.1	934.4	345.3	326.7	3391.0
No. Chsn	324.0	43.0	47.0	525.0	1197.0	1769.0	1728.0	717.0	793.0	7143.0
Total										
No. Pred	324.0	43.0	47.0	525.0	1197.0	1769.0	1728.0	717.0	793.0	7143.0
ttim2	119.3	82.9	58.1	63.5	51.8	61.7	40.1	48.2	71.1	57.4
gtim2	148.4	92.4	77.2	69.5	55.7	74.1	47.5	55.2	81.6	66.7
empm2	42.4	6.4	15.8	10.1	3.9	48.4	7.6	3.8	8.0	18.6
emps2	12.9	2.9	10.6	4.3	2.0	11.0	10.7	7.8	6.3	8.1
empr2	12.0	2.3	10.1	5.8	3.5	6.6	38.5	16.5	3.2	14.6
empf2	6.2	1.9	5.2	2.3	.9	3.0	8.0	25.8	1.5	6.1
empo2	149.8	114.8	438.1	56.2	27.6	43.6	18.5	30.3	33.5	41.1
hhld2	1.2	1.4	1.7	1.8	1.6	2.4	.7	.7	2.5	1.6
enrs2	19.1	401.4	38.1	35.7	159.5	17.4	2.0	.6	4.3	38.2
enru2	2.7	.07672	2.2	102.0	1.8	25.8	.0	1.7	1.4	65.1

INFORMATION 571: root-Mean-Square-Error is 9.114

INFORMATION 572: number of **stars** in table is 32

SACOG Activity-Based Travel Forecasting Model
 Featuring *DAYSIM*—the Person Day Simulator
 Technical Memo No. 5: **Intermediate Stop Location Models**

Table A3.4: for mode

	Auto 1	Auto 2	Auto 3+	Tran A	Tran W	Bike	Walk	Total
No. Chsn	76.0	63.0	41.0	2.0	29.0	2.0	.0	213.0
SD. Chsn	8.1	6.9	6.0	1.9	5.5	.8	1.0	13.6
> 30 min	-	*-	-	+	+	*-	*+	*-
No. Pred	68.3	50.8	37.6	3.8	32.7	1.2	1.0	195.4
No. Chsn	333.0	330.0	271.0	5.0	32.0	8.0	7.0	986.0
SD. Chsn	17.8	17.8	16.6	2.7	5.5	2.0	2.5	31.0
10-30min	+	+	*+	+	-	*-		*+
No. Pred	342.5	345.3	294.9	7.4	31.5	5.0	6.9	1033.4
No. Chsn	359.0	356.0	330.0	8.0	30.0	3.0	10.0	1096.0
SD. Chsn	17.9	17.7	16.8	2.2	4.4	2.7	2.4	30.9
5-10 min	*-	*-	*-	*-	**-	**+	*-	**-
No. Pred	337.8	330.1	299.9	5.0	20.1	9.6	6.5	1009.0
No. Chsn	480.0	516.0	446.0	5.0	32.0	9.0	15.0	1503.0
SD. Chsn	22.0	21.7	19.9	2.4	5.5	3.8	3.2	37.6
2-5 min	*+	-	*-	+	+	*+	-	+
No. Pred	515.9	507.3	421.7	6.2	34.1	15.3	13.6	1514.2
No. Chsn	1195.0	1097.0	895.0	24.0	72.0	42.0	20.0	3345.0
SD. Chsn	33.1	32.5	29.5	4.5	8.1	5.4	4.5	56.2
< 2 min	-	+	*+	-	+	*-	+	+
No. Pred	1178.4	1128.5	928.9	21.7	76.6	32.9	24.0	3391.0
No. Chsn	2443.0	2362.0	1983.0	44.0	195.0	64.0	52.0	7143.0
Total								
No. Pred	2443.0	2362.0	1983.0	44.0	195.0	64.0	52.0	7143.0
ttim2	56.6	53.8	53.3	81.8	153.6	41.2	51.7	57.4
gtim2	71.1	59.1	56.6	118.3	192.9	61.0	77.3	66.7
empm2	24.8	16.3	11.5	50.8	31.3	31.3	8.9	18.6
emps2	9.8	7.7	6.0	8.9	9.4	7.2	25.4	8.1
empr2	17.5	15.6	10.4	7.9	8.4	15.3	21.2	14.6
empf2	6.6	6.8	4.6	2.3	4.5	7.3	14.1	6.1
empo2	32.5	39.7	30.3	24.1	72.8	588.7	149.5	41.1
hhld2	1.5	1.6	1.5	2.3	1.8	2.6	2.2	1.6
enrs2	10.3	35.8	74.2	38.2	49.3	45.4	39.2	38.2
enru2	120.7	41.6	21.3	25.3	16.6	397.9	.0	65.1

INFORMATION 571: root-Mean-Square-Error is 8.392

INFORMATION 572: number of **stars** in table is 23

SACOG Activity-Based Travel Forecasting Model
 Featuring *DaySim*—the Person Day Simulator
 Technical Memo No. 5: **Intermediate Stop Location Models**

Table A3.5: for tod

	AM Peak	Mid day	PM Peak	Eve& Night	Total
No. Chsn	27.0	123.0	48.0	15.0	213.0
SD. Chsn	5.3	9.9	6.9	3.4	13.6
> 30 min	+	**-	+	-	*-
No. Pred	30.4	102.6	49.9	12.4	195.4
No. Chsn	107.0	515.0	273.0	91.0	986.0
SD. Chsn	11.7	22.4	14.8	10.0	31.0
10-30min	***+	*+	**-	*+	*+
No. Pred	144.2	548.6	233.6	106.9	1033.4
No. Chsn	166.0	551.0	281.0	98.0	1096.0
SD. Chsn	11.9	21.9	15.2	10.0	30.9
5-10 min	*-	*-	**-	+	**-
No. Pred	149.7	508.4	244.5	106.4	1009.0
No. Chsn	235.0	763.0	354.0	151.0	1503.0
SD. Chsn	14.6	26.8	18.6	11.7	37.6
2-5 min	-	+	+	-	+
No. Pred	227.6	771.8	367.6	147.2	1514.2
No. Chsn	517.0	1694.0	777.0	357.0	3345.0
SD. Chsn	21.7	39.9	27.9	17.8	56.2
< 2 min	-	+	***+	*-	+
No. Pred	500.0	1714.5	837.5	339.1	3391.0
No. Chsn	1052.0	3646.0	1733.0	712.0	7143.0
Total					
No. Pred	1052.0	3646.0	1733.0	712.0	7143.0
ttim2	57.3	58.8	56.6	52.2	57.4
gtim2	64.6	69.6	64.7	59.3	66.7
empm2	15.1	21.2	17.6	12.6	18.6
emps2	5.7	8.7	8.7	7.6	8.1
empr2	8.8	15.6	14.9	17.1	14.6
empf2	3.4	6.9	5.3	7.7	6.1
empo2	41.2	41.5	41.0	39.7	41.1
hhld2	1.6	1.5	1.7	1.5	1.6
enrs2	83.7	28.1	36.6	27.0	38.2
enru2	22.4	81.2	56.4	67.2	65.1

INFORMATION 571: root-Mean-Square-Error is 24.996

INFORMATION 572: number of **stars** in table is 20

SACOG Activity-Based Travel Forecasting Model
 Featuring *DAYSIM*—the Person Day Simulator
 Technical Memo No. 5: **Intermediate Stop Location Models**

Table A3.6: for tourtype

	Work Tour	Schol Tour	NMand Tour	Total
No. Chsn	88.0	41.0	84.0	213.0
SD. Chsn	9.6	5.8	7.7	13.6
> 30 min	+	-	**-	*-
No. Pred	97.2	35.5	62.7	195.4
No. Chsn	373.0	112.0	501.0	986.0
SD. Chsn	17.9	11.0	22.8	31.0
10-30min	*-	*+	**+	*+
No. Pred	341.1	130.2	562.0	1033.4
No. Chsn	364.0	129.0	603.0	1096.0
SD. Chsn	17.8	10.9	22.8	30.9
5-10 min	*-	-	**-	**-
No. Pred	332.0	126.4	550.7	1009.0
No. Chsn	465.0	174.0	864.0	1503.0
SD. Chsn	21.6	12.7	28.0	37.6
2-5 min	*+	+	-	+
No. Pred	496.4	177.9	839.9	1514.2
No. Chsn	1102.0	370.0	1873.0	3345.0
SD. Chsn	32.4	18.1	42.2	56.2
< 2 min	+	-	+	+
No. Pred	1125.3	356.0	1909.8	3391.0
No. Chsn	2392.0	826.0	3925.0	7143.0
Total				
No. Pred	2392.0	826.0	3925.0	7143.0
ttim2	63.8	72.1	50.3	57.4
gtim2	75.2	80.8	58.5	66.7
empm2	20.5	14.4	18.3	18.6
emps2	8.8	5.5	8.3	8.1
empr2	13.6	9.2	16.3	14.6
empf2	5.5	3.9	6.9	6.1
empo2	56.7	54.7	28.8	41.1
hhld2	1.6	1.6	1.5	1.6
enrs2	46.5	65.7	27.4	38.2
enru2	139.9	93.4	13.6	65.1

INFORMATION 571: root-Mean-Square-Error is 17.277

INFORMATION 572: number of ****stars**** in table is 14

SACOG Activity-Based Travel Forecasting Model
 Featuring *DAYSIM*—the Person Day Simulator
 Technical Memo No. 5: **Intermediate Stop Location Models**

Table A3.7: for inc6

	<15K	15-50K	50-75K	75-100K	100K+	re-fuse	Total
No. Chsn	10.0	61.0	69.0	26.0	24.0	23.0	213.0
SD. Chsn	2.6	7.7	7.9	4.5	4.4	4.0	13.6
> 30 min	-	+	-	-	-	*-	*-
No. Pred	7.7	62.7	65.6	22.1	20.5	16.8	195.4
No. Chsn	50.0	355.0	299.0	102.0	102.0	78.0	986.0
SD. Chsn	5.6	18.1	17.5	10.7	10.1	8.6	31.0
10-30min	**-	-	*+	**+	+	+	*+
No. Pred	33.5	351.5	333.6	124.1	109.5	81.2	1033.4
No. Chsn	38.0	377.0	365.0	127.0	124.0	65.0	1096.0
SD. Chsn	6.6	17.8	17.4	10.9	10.3	8.2	30.9
5-10 min	*+	**-	**-	-	*-	+	**-
No. Pred	46.5	333.6	322.9	124.5	110.9	70.7	1009.0
No. Chsn	66.0	549.0	457.0	184.0	136.0	111.0	1503.0
SD. Chsn	8.1	21.9	20.9	13.3	12.4	9.9	37.6
2-5 min	+	*-	+	+	**+	-	+
No. Pred	69.1	516.9	469.3	189.4	164.2	105.3	1514.2
No. Chsn	162.0	1088.0	1045.0	446.0	380.0	224.0	3345.0
SD. Chsn	12.4	32.9	31.2	19.9	18.4	14.5	56.2
< 2 min	+	**+	-	*-	*-	+	+
No. Pred	169.1	1165.3	1043.7	425.0	360.9	227.0	3391.0
No. Chsn	326.0	2430.0	2235.0	885.0	766.0	501.0	7143.0
Total							
No. Pred	326.0	2430.0	2235.0	885.0	766.0	501.0	7143.0
ttim2	48.1	56.5	59.8	54.4	56.5	63.8	57.4
gtim2	73.5	68.3	67.4	57.6	58.4	79.7	66.7
empm2	20.9	19.7	17.7	16.1	17.3	21.6	18.6
emps2	7.3	7.5	8.1	9.4	9.3	7.9	8.1
empr2	13.6	14.4	14.6	15.7	14.2	15.2	14.6
empf2	5.3	6.0	6.2	5.9	6.3	6.9	6.1
empo2	71.3	38.5	37.8	43.7	36.1	52.5	41.1
hhld2	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.5	1.5	1.8	1.6
enrs2	28.3	32.5	42.4	45.2	44.0	32.6	38.2
enru2	143.3	78.6	65.6	20.3	36.3	69.8	65.1

INFORMATION 571: root-Mean-Square-Error is 16.999

INFORMATION 572: number of **stars** in table is 23

SACOG Activity-Based Travel Forecasting Model
 Featuring *DAYSIM*—the Person Day Simulator
 Technical Memo No. 5: **Intermediate Stop Location Models**

Table A3.8: for hysize

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Total
No. Chsn	16.0	72.0	38.0	64.0	19.0	4.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	213.0
SD. Chsn	4.2	8.5	5.7	6.4	3.6	2.5	1.1	1.1	.2	.4	13.6
> 30 min	+	+	-	***-	*-	*+	*+	*+		+	*-
No. Pred	17.9	75.9	35.0	43.0	13.8	7.1	1.2	1.3	.0	.1	195.4
No. Chsn	114.0	373.0	186.0	198.0	77.0	26.0	9.0	2.0	.0	1.0	986.0
SD. Chsn	10.5	19.0	12.7	14.5	8.3	5.1	3.0	2.5	.7	1.1	31.0
10-30min	+	*+	*-	*+	-	+	+	*+	+	+	*+
No. Pred	119.0	394.7	172.3	226.1	74.5	28.5	9.8	6.6	.5	1.3	1033.4
No. Chsn	102.0	394.0	209.0	256.0	89.0	32.0	11.0	3.0	.0	.0	1096.0
SD. Chsn	10.1	18.5	12.9	14.9	8.7	5.6	2.7	2.1	.7	.6	30.9
5-10 min	+	*-	**-	*-	*-	+	*-	+	+	+	**-
No. Pred	107.9	360.9	177.7	236.3	80.0	33.2	7.7	4.6	.5	.4	1009.0
No. Chsn	180.0	548.0	250.0	351.0	102.0	47.0	4.0	19.0	2.0	.0	1503.0
SD. Chsn	12.9	22.8	16.0	17.7	10.0	6.5	3.1	2.5	.8	.8	37.6
2-5 min	-	+	*+	-	+	-	*+	***-	*-	+	+
No. Pred	178.5	557.5	274.5	333.8	107.0	44.2	10.1	7.2	.8	.6	1514.2
No. Chsn	383.0	1267.0	584.0	723.0	242.0	98.0	28.0	15.0	2.0	3.0	3345.0
SD. Chsn	18.6	34.3	23.8	26.5	15.3	9.4	4.7	4.2	1.4	1.2	56.2
< 2 min	-	-	+	*+	+	-	*-	*+	+	*-	+
No. Pred	371.8	1265.0	607.4	752.9	253.6	94.0	23.2	19.3	2.3	1.6	3391.0
No. Chsn	795.0	2654.0	1267.0	1592.0	529.0	207.0	52.0	39.0	4.0	4.0	7143.0
Total											
No. Pred	795.0	2654.0	1267.0	1592.0	529.0	207.0	52.0	39.0	4.0	4.0	7143.0
ttim2	54.7	57.9	56.5	58.0	56.0	62.3	65.7	60.6	41.8	86.2	57.4
gtim2	71.1	68.8	64.9	63.3	61.8	67.7	77.0	69.2	56.2	113.7	66.7
empm2	24.0	23.3	16.3	13.4	11.0	12.9	19.0	5.4	.5	9.6	18.6
emps2	10.8	9.3	7.1	6.8	6.6	6.0	3.9	4.6	1.9	4.6	8.1
empr2	17.1	16.5	13.1	12.6	12.4	14.8	5.1	15.3	2.0	2.6	14.6
empf2	7.0	6.9	5.6	5.5	4.9	4.2	2.5	6.1	.9	1.3	6.1
empo2	43.9	42.4	42.3	34.8	34.3	48.5	164.6	12.6	16.4	21.7	41.1
hhld2	1.7	1.6	1.5	1.6	1.4	1.5	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.6
enrs2	12.4	15.1	48.4	62.6	73.0	79.5	88.5	53.1	56.4	47.4	38.2
enru2	58.7	67.3	67.8	51.6	110.9	10.8	3.3	266.7	3.3	38.3	65.1

INFORMATION 571: root-Mean-Square-Error is 6.759

INFORMATION 572: number of **stars** in table is 31

SACOG Activity-Based Travel Forecasting Model
 Featuring *DAYSIM*—the Person Day Simulator
 Technical Memo No. 5: **Intermediate Stop Location Models**

Table A3.9: for totveh

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Total
No. Chsn	5.0	35.0	99.0	45.0	11.0	14.0	.0	4.0	.0	.0	213.0
SD. Chsn	2.2	5.6	8.8	6.7	3.7	3.3	.8	.7	.2	.5	13.6
> 30 min	+	-	**-	+	+	-	+	***-		+	*-
No. Pred	5.2	33.5	79.7	48.6	14.0	12.7	.7	.5	.1	.3	195.4
No. Chsn	14.0	199.0	436.0	223.0	70.0	40.0	2.0	1.0	.0	1.0	986.0
SD. Chsn	3.4	14.2	21.1	13.9	8.1	5.7	2.0	1.6	.4	1.7	31.0
10-30min	-	*+	*+	-	+	-	*+	*+	+	*+	*+
No. Pred	13.2	215.7	477.0	209.2	71.4	36.7	4.1	2.7	.2	3.2	1033.4
No. Chsn	13.0	196.0	529.0	221.0	91.0	34.0	9.0	1.0	.0	2.0	1096.0
SD. Chsn	3.6	13.7	21.2	14.3	8.0	5.2	2.5	1.4	.8	.9	30.9
5-10 min	+	+	**-	-	***-	-	*-	+	+	*-	**-
No. Pred	13.3	197.7	475.8	216.7	66.7	28.8	6.5	2.1	.6	.8	1009.0
No. Chsn	26.0	318.0	688.0	296.0	125.0	32.0	11.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	1503.0
SD. Chsn	4.7	17.0	25.8	16.6	10.1	6.7	3.2	1.9	.9	1.4	37.6
2-5 min	-	-	+	-	*-	***+		+	*-	+	+
No. Pred	24.3	309.8	709.0	294.8	110.9	47.4	11.1	3.8	.9	2.2	1514.2
No. Chsn	47.0	678.0	1589.0	635.0	235.0	112.0	28.0	10.0	5.0	6.0	3345.0
SD. Chsn	6.6	24.9	38.6	24.6	15.9	10.0	5.1	3.0	2.2	2.0	56.2
< 2 min	+	-	+	+	***+	-	-	-	+	-	+
No. Pred	49.0	669.2	1599.5	650.7	268.9	106.4	27.7	9.9	5.3	4.6	3391.0
Total	105.0	1426.0	3341.0	1420.0	532.0	232.0	50.0	19.0	7.0	11.0	7143.0
No. Pred	105.0	1426.0	3341.0	1420.0	532.0	232.0	50.0	19.0	7.0	11.0	7143.0
ttim2	68.6	55.8	55.3	62.2	53.8	74.1	38.8	56.9	22.1	74.5	57.4
gtim2	118.4	67.2	63.7	70.4	59.6	82.0	46.4	61.5	24.7	82.8	66.7
empm2	32.7	21.3	17.9	18.2	14.2	18.7	15.7	10.9	11.1	29.8	18.6
emps2	13.6	8.3	7.9	8.0	8.1	8.3	7.9	10.3	5.4	8.5	8.1
empr2	18.9	14.2	14.7	13.6	16.1	16.0	16.0	15.2	14.4	14.7	14.6
empf2	7.7	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.6	7.0	7.4	8.0	1.3	5.3	6.1
empo2	68.2	51.8	38.4	35.7	48.2	24.2	40.1	25.9	5.8	16.8	41.1
hhld2	1.8	1.7	1.6	1.5	1.4	1.2	1.6	1.2	.6	1.1	1.6
enrs2	16.3	34.8	39.6	41.0	41.2	33.5	22.0	10.4	24.2	10.3	38.2
enru2	33.3	52.8	50.8	93.4	152.2	8.7	15.3	1.3	.2	7.8	65.1

INFORMATION 571: root-Mean-Square-Error is 6.147

INFORMATION 572: number of **stars** in table is 27

SACOG Activity-Based Travel Forecasting Model
 Featuring *DAYSIM*—the Person Day Simulator
 Technical Memo No. 5: **Intermediate Stop Location Models**

Table A3.10: for distsotoc

	gt30 mi	10-30	5-10	2-5	0-2	Total
No. Chsn	.0	26.0	43.0	55.0	89.0	213.0
SD. Chsn	.8	4.5	5.4	7.5	8.8	13.6
> 30 min	+	*-	**-	+	-	*-
No. Pred	.6	21.1	30.5	59.8	83.3	195.4
No. Chsn	4.0	120.0	192.0	300.0	370.0	986.0
SD. Chsn	2.9	11.0	12.8	16.9	19.4	31.0
10-30min	**+	+	*-	+	**+	**+
No. Pred	9.1	130.2	174.1	305.3	414.6	1033.4
No. Chsn	10.0	147.0	177.0	352.0	410.0	1096.0
SD. Chsn	3.5	12.0	13.5	16.7	18.4	30.9
5-10 min	+	+	+	***-	**-	**-
No. Pred	12.6	151.0	189.4	293.1	362.9	1009.0
No. Chsn	9.0	227.0	297.0	446.0	524.0	1503.0
SD. Chsn	4.1	15.5	16.6	20.3	21.6	37.6
2-5 min	**+	*+	-	-	-	+
No. Pred	17.9	253.6	291.4	444.2	507.2	1514.2
No. Chsn	67.0	703.0	691.0	977.0	907.0	3345.0
SD. Chsn	6.9	25.1	25.9	30.9	29.2	56.2
< 2 min	**-	*-	+	*+	+	+
No. Pred	49.8	667.2	714.5	1027.6	931.9	3391.0
No. Chsn	90.0	1223.0	1400.0	2130.0	2300.0	7143.0
Total						
No. Pred	90.0	1223.0	1400.0	2130.0	2300.0	7143.0
ttim2	38.5	44.3	50.0	57.4	69.5	57.4
gtim2	33.1	46.2	57.9	67.6	83.4	66.7
empm2	15.5	17.2	17.5	18.1	20.5	18.6
emps2	9.0	8.5	8.3	8.1	7.8	8.1
empr2	19.6	14.3	15.5	14.9	13.8	14.6
empf2	5.8	6.7	6.7	5.9	5.6	6.1
empo2	35.4	41.7	28.6	30.4	58.7	41.1
hhld2	1.7	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6
enrs2	38.1	43.1	35.9	35.6	39.5	38.2
enru2	6.1	89.2	118.6	40.7	44.7	65.1

INFORMATION 571: root-Mean-Square-Error is 12.706

INFORMATION 572: number of **stars** in table is 23

SACOG Activity-Based Travel Forecasting Model
 Featuring *DAYSIM*—the Person Day Simulator
 Technical Memo No. 5: **Intermediate Stop Location Models**

Table A3.11: for gend

	Male	Fe- male							re- fuse	Total
No. Chsn	113.0	100.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	213.0
SD. Chsn	9.6	9.6	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.3	13.6
> 30 min	*-	-								*-
No. Pred	96.4	98.8	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.1	195.4
No. Chsn	458.0	526.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	2.0	986.0
SD. Chsn	20.8	22.9	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	1.6	31.0
10-30min	+	**+							+	**+
No. Pred	466.4	564.2	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	2.8	1033.4
No. Chsn	490.0	598.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	8.0	1096.0
SD. Chsn	20.4	23.1	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	1.5	30.9
5-10 min	**-	*-							***-	**-
No. Pred	442.5	564.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	2.5	1009.0
No. Chsn	654.0	846.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	3.0	1503.0
SD. Chsn	25.0	28.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	2.4	37.6
2-5 min	+	-							**+	+
No. Pred	673.0	835.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	6.2	1514.2
No. Chsn	1415.0	1923.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	7.0	3345.0
SD. Chsn	36.7	42.4	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	2.8	56.2
< 2 min	+	+							+	+
No. Pred	1451.6	1930.9	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	8.5	3391.0
No. Chsn	3130.0	3993.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	20.0	7143.0
Total										
No. Pred	3130.0	3993.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	20.0	7143.0
ttim2	59.9	55.5	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	47.5	57.4
gtim2	70.3	63.9	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	50.5	66.7
empm2	19.0	18.3	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	8.8	18.6
emps2	8.9	7.6	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	5.9	8.1
empr2	14.3	14.7	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	40.1	14.6
empf2	6.6	5.7	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	6.6	6.1
empo2	43.8	39.1	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	33.6	41.1
hhld2	1.6	1.6	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	1.3	1.6
enrs2	34.1	41.4	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	56.6	38.2
enru2	72.9	59.3	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	3.1	65.1

INFORMATION 571: root-Mean-Square-Error is 24.560

INFORMATION 572: number of **stars** in table is 13

SACOG Activity-Based Travel Forecasting Model
 Featuring *DAYSIM*—the Person Day Simulator
 Technical Memo No. 5: **Intermediate Stop Location Models**

Table A3.12: for comp

Choice	1	Total
No. Chsn	44.0	44.0
SD. Chsn	5.9	5.9
> 30 min	*-	*-
No. Pred	36.8	36.8
No. Chsn	200.0	200.0
SD. Chsn	13.7	13.7
10-30min	+	+
No. Pred	201.3	201.3
No. Chsn	200.0	200.0
SD. Chsn	13.9	13.9
5-10 min	+	+
No. Pred	203.4	203.4
No. Chsn	299.0	299.0
SD. Chsn	16.7	16.7
2-5 min	+	+
No. Pred	300.2	300.2
No. Chsn	656.0	656.0
SD. Chsn	24.7	24.7
< 2 min	+	+
No. Pred	657.3	657.3
No. Chsn	1399.0	1399.0
Total		
No. Pred	1399.0	1399.0
ttim2	56.9	56.9
gtim2	68.9	68.9
empm2	18.4	18.4
emps2	7.7	7.7
empr2	13.8	13.8
empf2	6.0	6.0
empo2	55.8	55.8
hhld2	1.6	1.6
enrs2	46.0	46.0
enru2	102.8	102.8

WARNING 570: table rows or columns omit 5744.0 observations

INFORMATION 572: number of **stars** in table is 2

SACOG Activity-Based Travel Forecasting Model
 Featuring *DAYSIM*—the Person Day Simulator
 Technical Memo No. 5: **Intermediate Stop Location Models**

Table A3.13: for mult

Choice	1	Total
No. Chsn	145.0	145.0
SD. Chsn	10.8	10.8
> 30 min	*-	*-
No. Pred	125.2	125.2
No. Chsn	581.0	581.0
SD. Chsn	23.5	23.5
10-30min	+	+
No. Pred	594.4	594.4
No. Chsn	589.0	589.0
SD. Chsn	23.2	23.2
5-10 min	-	-
No. Pred	570.1	570.1
No. Chsn	862.0	862.0
SD. Chsn	28.0	28.0
2-5 min	-	-
No. Pred	836.9	836.9
No. Chsn	1855.0	1855.0
SD. Chsn	42.1	42.1
< 2 min	*+	*+
No. Pred	1905.4	1905.4
No. Chsn	4032.0	4032.0
Total		
No. Pred	4032.0	4032.0
ttim2	59.8	59.8
gtim2	69.3	69.3
empm2	19.2	19.2
emps2	8.5	8.5
empr2	15.5	15.5
empf2	6.4	6.4
empo2	40.3	40.3
hhld2	1.5	1.5
enrs2	34.9	34.9
enru2	43.3	43.3

WARNING 570: table rows or columns omit 3111.0 observations

INFORMATION 572: number of **stars** in table is 4

SACOG Activity-Based Travel Forecasting Model
 Featuring *DAYSIM*—the Person Day Simulator
 Technical Memo No. 5: **Intermediate Stop Location Models**

Table A3.14: for sect

Choice	1	Total
No. Chsn	47.0	47.0
SD. Chsn	6.0	6.0
> 30 min	*-	*-
No. Pred	37.9	37.9
No. Chsn	263.0	263.0
SD. Chsn	16.4	16.4
10-30min	**+	**+
No. Pred	289.6	289.6
No. Chsn	341.0	341.0
SD. Chsn	16.9	16.9
5-10 min	**-	**-
No. Pred	302.9	302.9
No. Chsn	443.0	443.0
SD. Chsn	20.6	20.6
2-5 min	+	+
No. Pred	455.4	455.4
No. Chsn	979.0	979.0
SD. Chsn	30.3	30.3
< 2 min	+	+
No. Pred	987.2	987.2
No. Chsn	2073.0	2073.0
Total		
No. Pred	2073.0	2073.0
ttim2	51.7	51.7
gtim2	59.8	59.8
empm2	17.3	17.3
emps2	8.1	8.1
empr2	14.8	14.8
empf2	6.4	6.4
empo2	42.8	42.8
hhld2	1.5	1.5
enrs2	36.2	36.2
enru2	16.2	16.2

WARNING 570: table rows or columns omit 5070.0 observations

INFORMATION 572: number of **stars** in table is 8

SACOG Activity-Based Travel Forecasting Model
 Featuring *DAYSIM*—the Person Day Simulator
 Technical Memo No. 5: **Intermediate Stop Location Models**

Table A3.15: for wbas

Choice	1	Total
No. Chsn	3.0	3.0
SD. Chsn	2.1	2.1
> 30 min	+	+
No. Pred	4.5	4.5
No. Chsn	27.0	27.0
SD. Chsn	4.6	4.6
10-30min	-	-
No. Pred	23.0	23.0
No. Chsn	29.0	29.0
SD. Chsn	4.4	4.4
5-10 min	*-	*-
No. Pred	20.7	20.7
No. Chsn	34.0	34.0
SD. Chsn	5.8	5.8
2-5 min	+	+
No. Pred	37.7	37.7
No. Chsn	77.0	77.0
SD. Chsn	8.7	8.7
< 2 min	+	+
No. Pred	84.0	84.0
No. Chsn	170.0	170.0
Total		
No. Pred	170.0	170.0
ttim2	53.3	53.3
gtim2	63.6	63.6
empm2	22.4	22.4
emps2	12.3	12.3
empr2	16.5	16.5
empf2	11.4	11.4
empo2	93.9	93.9
hhld2	1.3	1.3
enrs2	15.7	15.7
enru2	6.0	6.0

WARNING 570: table rows or columns omit 6973.0 observations

INFORMATION 572: number of **stars** in table is 2

SACOG Activity-Based Travel Forecasting Model
 Featuring *DAYSIM*—the Person Day Simulator
 Technical Memo No. 5: **Intermediate Stop Location Models**

Table A3.16: for shsh

Choice	1	Total
No. Chsn	6.0	6.0
SD. Chsn	2.0	2.0
> 30 min	*-	*-
No. Pred	4.0	4.0
No. Chsn	54.0	54.0
SD. Chsn	7.7	7.7
10-30min	**+	**+
No. Pred	65.1	65.1
No. Chsn	76.0	76.0
SD. Chsn	8.1	8.1
5-10 min	-	-
No. Pred	69.6	69.6
No. Chsn	101.0	101.0
SD. Chsn	10.0	10.0
2-5 min	+	+
No. Pred	105.7	105.7
No. Chsn	308.0	308.0
SD. Chsn	16.8	16.8
< 2 min	-	-
No. Pred	300.6	300.6
No. Chsn	545.0	545.0
Total		
No. Pred	545.0	545.0
ttim2	40.3	40.3
gtim2	48.1	48.1
empm2	8.3	8.3
emps2	10.7	10.7
empr2	42.1	42.1
empf2	9.2	9.2
empo2	15.8	15.8
hhld2	.5	.5
enrs2	2.1	2.1
enru2	.0	.0

WARNING 570: table rows or columns omit 6598.0 observations

INFORMATION 572: number of **stars** in table is 4

SACOG Activity-Based Travel Forecasting Model
 Featuring *DAYSIM*—the Person Day Simulator
 Technical Memo No. 5: **Intermediate Stop Location Models**

Table A3.17: for fkid

Choice	1	Total
No. Chsn	25.0	25.0
SD. Chsn	4.7	4.7
> 30 min	-	-
No. Pred	24.2	24.2
No. Chsn	163.0	163.0
SD. Chsn	12.7	12.7
10-30min	+	+
No. Pred	171.8	171.8
No. Chsn	225.0	225.0
SD. Chsn	13.6	13.6
5-10 min	**-	**-
No. Pred	193.1	193.1
No. Chsn	279.0	279.0
SD. Chsn	16.2	16.2
2-5 min	+	+
No. Pred	279.3	279.3
No. Chsn	642.0	642.0
SD. Chsn	24.9	24.9
< 2 min	+	+
No. Pred	665.7	665.7
No. Chsn	1334.0	1334.0
Total		
No. Pred	1334.0	1334.0
ttim2	49.7	49.7
gtim2	55.2	55.2
empm2	12.1	12.1
emps2	6.3	6.3
empr2	13.0	13.0
empf2	4.7	4.7
empo2	33.6	33.6
hhld2	1.5	1.5
enrs2	78.0	78.0
enru2	13.7	13.7

WARNING 570: table rows or columns omit 5809.0 observations

INFORMATION 572: number of **stars** in table is 4

SACOG Activity-Based Travel Forecasting Model
 Featuring *DAYSIM*—the Person Day Simulator
 Technical Memo No. 5: **Intermediate Stop Location Models**

Table A3.18: for essc

Choice	1	Total
No. Chsn	7.0	7.0
SD. Chsn	2.3	2.3
> 30 min	-	-
No. Pred	5.4	5.4
No. Chsn	18.0	18.0
SD. Chsn	5.7	5.7
10-30min	***	***
No. Pred	34.2	34.2
No. Chsn	36.0	36.0
SD. Chsn	5.9	5.9
5-10 min	+	+
No. Pred	36.7	36.7
No. Chsn	45.0	45.0
SD. Chsn	6.8	6.8
2-5 min	+	+
No. Pred	49.8	49.8
No. Chsn	133.0	133.0
SD. Chsn	10.3	10.3
< 2 min	*-	*-
No. Pred	112.9	112.9
No. Chsn	239.0	239.0
Total		
No. Pred	239.0	239.0
ttim2	58.4	58.4
gtim2	62.4	62.4
empm2	5.7	5.7
emps2	2.0	2.0
empr2	4.5	4.5
empf2	1.1	1.1
empo2	31.5	31.5
hhld2	1.4	1.4
enrs2	139.6	139.6
enru2	14.6	14.6

WARNING 570: table rows or columns omit 6904.0 observations

INFORMATION 572: number of **stars** in table is 6

SACOG Activity-Based Travel Forecasting Model
 Featuring *DAYSIM*—the Person Day Simulator
 Technical Memo No. 5: **Intermediate Stop Location Models**

Table A3.19: for bman

Choice	1	Total
No. Chsn	43.0	43.0
SD. Chsn	6.2	6.2
> 30 min	-	-
No. Pred	41.2	41.2
No. Chsn	149.0	149.0
SD. Chsn	12.3	12.3
10-30min	+	+
No. Pred	161.0	161.0
No. Chsn	166.0	166.0
SD. Chsn	12.2	12.2
5-10 min	-	-
No. Pred	156.0	156.0
No. Chsn	247.0	247.0
SD. Chsn	15.1	15.1
2-5 min	-	-
No. Pred	244.9	244.9
No. Chsn	545.0	545.0
SD. Chsn	22.5	22.5
< 2 min	+	+
No. Pred	547.0	547.0
No. Chsn	1150.0	1150.0
Total		
No. Pred	1150.0	1150.0
ttim2	61.5	61.5
gtim2	71.3	71.3
empm2	18.6	18.6
emps2	6.8	6.8
empr2	10.0	10.0
empf2	4.5	4.5
empo2	73.9	73.9
hhld2	1.6	1.6
enrs2	75.0	75.0
enru2	216.4	216.4

WARNING 570: table rows or columns omit 5993.0 observations

INFORMATION 572: number of ****stars**** in table is 0

SACOG Activity-Based Travel Forecasting Model
 Featuring *DAYSIM*—the Person Day Simulator
 Technical Memo No. 5: **Intermediate Stop Location Models**

Table A3.20: for wrksch

Choice	1	Total
No. Chsn	46.0	46.0
SD. Chsn	6.7	6.7
> 30 min	+	+
No. Pred	48.5	48.5
No. Chsn	90.0	90.0
SD. Chsn	7.8	7.8
10-30min	***-	***-
No. Pred	64.3	64.3
No. Chsn	61.0	61.0
SD. Chsn	7.5	7.5
5-10 min	-	-
No. Pred	58.7	58.7
No. Chsn	79.0	79.0
SD. Chsn	8.6	8.6
2-5 min	+	+
No. Pred	80.9	80.9
No. Chsn	138.0	138.0
SD. Chsn	12.1	12.1
< 2 min	*+	*+
No. Pred	161.7	161.7
No. Chsn	414.0	414.0
Total		
No. Pred	414.0	414.0
ttim2	108.6	108.6
gtim2	134.5	134.5
empm2	35.7	35.7
emps2	11.6	11.6
empr2	10.8	10.8
empf2	5.6	5.6
empo2	178.9	178.9
hhld2	1.3	1.3
enrs2	60.9	60.9
enru2	873.1	873.1

WARNING 570: table rows or columns omit 6729.0 observations

INFORMATION 572: number of **stars** in table is 8

SACOG Activity-Based Travel Forecasting Model
 Featuring *DAYSIM*—the Person Day Simulator
 Technical Memo No. 5: **Intermediate Stop Location Models**

Table A3.21: for auto

Choice	1	Total
No. Chsn	180.0	180.0
SD. Chsn	12.2	12.2
> 30 min	*-	*-
No. Pred	156.7	156.7
No. Chsn	934.0	934.0
SD. Chsn	30.2	30.2
10-30min	*+	*+
No. Pred	982.6	982.6
No. Chsn	1045.0	1045.0
SD. Chsn	30.3	30.3
5-10 min	**-	**-
No. Pred	967.9	967.9
No. Chsn	1442.0	1442.0
SD. Chsn	36.8	36.8
2-5 min	+	+
No. Pred	1444.9	1444.9
No. Chsn	3187.0	3187.0
SD. Chsn	55.0	55.0
< 2 min	+	+
No. Pred	3235.9	3235.9
No. Chsn	6788.0	6788.0
Total		
No. Pred	6788.0	6788.0
ttim2	54.6	54.6
gtim2	62.7	62.7
empm2	18.0	18.0
emps2	8.0	8.0
empr2	14.8	14.8
empf2	6.1	6.1
empo2	34.4	34.4
hhld2	1.5	1.5
enrs2	37.8	37.8
enru2	64.1	64.1

WARNING 570: table rows or columns omit 355.0 observations

INFORMATION 572: number of ****stars**** in table is 8

SACOG Activity-Based Travel Forecasting Model
 Featuring *DAYSIM*—the Person Day Simulator
 Technical Memo No. 5: **Intermediate Stop Location Models**

Table A3.22: for naut

Choice	1	Total
No. Chsn	33.0	33.0
SD. Chsn	6.0	6.0
> 30 min	+	+
No. Pred	38.6	38.6
No. Chsn	52.0	52.0
SD. Chsn	6.9	6.9
10-30min	-	-
No. Pred	50.7	50.7
No. Chsn	51.0	51.0
SD. Chsn	6.1	6.1
5-10 min	*-	*-
No. Pred	41.2	41.2
No. Chsn	61.0	61.0
SD. Chsn	7.8	7.8
2-5 min	*+	*+
No. Pred	69.3	69.3
No. Chsn	158.0	158.0
SD. Chsn	11.6	11.6
< 2 min	-	-
No. Pred	155.2	155.2
No. Chsn	355.0	355.0
Total		
No. Pred	355.0	355.0
ttim2	109.5	109.5
gtim2	143.0	143.0
empm2	30.5	30.5
emps2	11.3	11.3
empr2	11.4	11.4
empf2	6.1	6.1
empo2	171.0	171.0
hhld2	2.1	2.1
enrs2	45.8	45.8
enru2	84.0	84.0

WARNING 570: table rows or columns omit 6788.0 observations

INFORMATION 572: number of **stars** in table is 4

SACOG Activity-Based Travel Forecasting Model
 Featuring *DAYSIM*—the Person Day Simulator
 Technical Memo No. 5: **Intermediate Stop Location Models**

Table A3.23: for first

Choice	1	Total
No. Chsn	133.0	133.0
SD. Chsn	10.7	10.7
> 30 min	*-	*-
No. Pred	119.6	119.6
No. Chsn	684.0	684.0
SD. Chsn	25.3	25.3
10-30min	+	+
No. Pred	690.6	690.6
No. Chsn	755.0	755.0
SD. Chsn	25.3	25.3
5-10 min	***-	***-
No. Pred	674.4	674.4
No. Chsn	984.0	984.0
SD. Chsn	30.6	30.6
2-5 min	+	+
No. Pred	1007.2	1007.2
No. Chsn	2158.0	2158.0
SD. Chsn	45.5	45.5
< 2 min	*+	*+
No. Pred	2222.2	2222.2
No. Chsn	4714.0	4714.0
Total		
No. Pred	4714.0	4714.0
ttim2	56.7	56.7
gtim2	66.1	66.1
empm2	18.3	18.3
emps2	7.9	7.9
empr2	14.2	14.2
empf2	6.2	6.2
empo2	43.2	43.2
hhld2	1.6	1.6
enrs2	39.0	39.0
enru2	66.4	66.4

WARNING 570: table rows or columns omit 2429.0 observations

INFORMATION 572: number of **stars** in table is 10

SACOG Activity-Based Travel Forecasting Model
 Featuring *DAYSIM*—the Person Day Simulator
 Technical Memo No. 5: **Intermediate Stop Location Models**

Table A3.24: for nfirst

Choice	1	Total
No. Chsn	80.0	80.0
SD. Chsn	8.4	8.4
> 30 min	-	-
No. Pred	75.8	75.8
No. Chsn	302.0	302.0
SD. Chsn	17.8	17.8
10-30min	***	***
No. Pred	342.7	342.7
No. Chsn	341.0	341.0
SD. Chsn	17.8	17.8
5-10 min	-	-
No. Pred	334.6	334.6
No. Chsn	519.0	519.0
SD. Chsn	21.8	21.8
2-5 min	-	-
No. Pred	507.0	507.0
No. Chsn	1187.0	1187.0
SD. Chsn	33.0	33.0
< 2 min	-	-
No. Pred	1168.9	1168.9
No. Chsn	2429.0	2429.0
Total		
No. Pred	2429.0	2429.0
ttim2	58.7	58.7
gtim2	67.9	67.9
empm2	19.2	19.2
emps2	8.7	8.7
empr2	15.4	15.4
empf2	5.9	5.9
empo2	37.2	37.2
hhld2	1.6	1.6
enrs2	36.8	36.8
enru2	62.7	62.7

WARNING 570: table rows or columns omit 4714.0 observations

INFORMATION 572: number of **stars** in table is 4

SACOG Activity-Based Travel Forecasting Model
 Featuring *DAYSIM*—the Person Day Simulator
 Technical Memo No. 5: **Intermediate Stop Location Models**

Table A3.25: for last

Choice	1	Total
No. Chsn	103.0	103.0
SD. Chsn	10.3	10.3
> 30 min	+	+
No. Pred	111.8	111.8
No. Chsn	568.0	568.0
SD. Chsn	24.4	24.4
10-30min	***	***
No. Pred	640.4	640.4
No. Chsn	711.0	711.0
SD. Chsn	24.8	24.8
5-10 min	**-	**-
No. Pred	647.0	647.0
No. Chsn	988.0	988.0
SD. Chsn	30.5	30.5
2-5 min	+	+
No. Pred	1001.0	1001.0
No. Chsn	2267.0	2267.0
SD. Chsn	45.6	45.6
< 2 min	-	-
No. Pred	2236.8	2236.8
No. Chsn	4637.0	4637.0
Total		
No. Pred	4637.0	4637.0
ttim2	54.5	54.5
gtim2	63.5	63.5
empm2	17.8	17.8
emps2	7.8	7.8
empr2	13.8	13.8
empf2	5.5	5.5
empo2	40.6	40.6
hhld2	1.6	1.6
enrs2	42.9	42.9
enru2	93.0	93.0

WARNING 570: table rows or columns omit 2506.0 observations

INFORMATION 572: number of **stars** in table is 8

SACOG Activity-Based Travel Forecasting Model
 Featuring *DAYSIM*—the Person Day Simulator
 Technical Memo No. 5: **Intermediate Stop Location Models**

Table A3.26: for nlast

Choice	1	Total
No. Chsn	110.0	110.0
SD. Chsn	8.9	8.9
> 30 min	**-	**-
No. Pred	83.5	83.5
No. Chsn	418.0	418.0
SD. Chsn	19.0	19.0
10-30min	*-	*-
No. Pred	393.0	393.0
No. Chsn	385.0	385.0
SD. Chsn	18.5	18.5
5-10 min	*-	*-
No. Pred	362.1	362.1
No. Chsn	515.0	515.0
SD. Chsn	22.0	22.0
2-5 min	-	-
No. Pred	513.2	513.2
No. Chsn	1078.0	1078.0
SD. Chsn	32.8	32.8
< 2 min	**+	**+
No. Pred	1154.2	1154.2
No. Chsn	2506.0	2506.0
Total		
No. Pred	2506.0	2506.0
ttim2	62.6	62.6
gtim2	72.6	72.6
empm2	20.1	20.1
emps2	8.8	8.8
empr2	16.1	16.1
empf2	7.2	7.2
empo2	42.2	42.2
hhld2	1.5	1.5
enrs2	29.6	29.6
enru2	13.6	13.6

WARNING 570: table rows or columns omit 4637.0 observations

INFORMATION 572: number of **stars** in table is 12

SACOG Activity-Based Travel Forecasting Model
 Featuring *DAYSIM*—the Person Day Simulator
 Technical Memo No. 5: **Intermediate Stop Location Models**

Table A3.27: for bwork

Choice	1	Total
No. Chsn	33.0	33.0
SD. Chsn	5.7	5.7
> 30 min	+	+
No. Pred	33.9	33.9
No. Chsn	127.0	127.0
SD. Chsn	10.9	10.9
10-30min	-	-
No. Pred	126.0	126.0
No. Chsn	133.0	133.0
SD. Chsn	10.9	10.9
5-10 min	-	-
No. Pred	124.3	124.3
No. Chsn	187.0	187.0
SD. Chsn	13.4	13.4
2-5 min	+	+
No. Pred	190.8	190.8
No. Chsn	430.0	430.0
SD. Chsn	20.1	20.1
< 2 min	+	+
No. Pred	435.0	435.0
No. Chsn	910.0	910.0
Total		
No. Pred	910.0	910.0
ttim2	61.4	61.4
gtim2	72.1	72.1
empm2	20.5	20.5
emps2	7.5	7.5
empr2	10.8	10.8
empf2	5.1	5.1
empo2	75.0	75.0
hhld2	1.6	1.6
enrs2	66.2	66.2
enru2	196.4	196.4

WARNING 570: table rows or columns omit 6233.0 observations

INFORMATION 572: number of **stars** in table is 0

SACOG Activity-Based Travel Forecasting Model
 Featuring *DAYSIM*—the Person Day Simulator
 Technical Memo No. 5: **Intermediate Stop Location Models**

Table A3.28: for nwrkt

Choice	1	Total
No. Chsn	125.0	125.0
SD. Chsn	9.6	9.6
> 30 min	**-	**-
No. Pred	98.2	98.2
No. Chsn	613.0	613.0
SD. Chsn	25.3	25.3
10-30min	****	****
No. Pred	692.3	692.3
No. Chsn	732.0	732.0
SD. Chsn	25.3	25.3
5-10 min	**-	**-
No. Pred	677.1	677.1
No. Chsn	1038.0	1038.0
SD. Chsn	30.8	30.8
2-5 min	-	-
No. Pred	1017.7	1017.7
No. Chsn	2243.0	2243.0
SD. Chsn	45.9	45.9
< 2 min	+	+
No. Pred	2265.8	2265.8
No. Chsn	4751.0	4751.0
Total		
No. Pred	4751.0	4751.0
ttim2	54.1	54.1
gtim2	62.4	62.4
empm2	17.6	17.6
emps2	7.8	7.8
empr2	15.1	15.1
empf2	6.4	6.4
empo2	33.3	33.3
hhld2	1.5	1.5
enrs2	34.1	34.1
enru2	27.5	27.5

WARNING 570: table rows or columns omit 2392.0 observations

INFORMATION 572: number of **stars** in table is 14

Table A3.29: Elasticity of range* with respect to required travel time—by person type

Predicted chosen travel time (min)	Person Type								
	FT Worker	PT Worker	Retired	Non-worker	Univ Student	Driv Stud	Stud 5-15	Under 5	Total
Before	58.4	55.7	52.2	51.5	50.8	73	70.4	54.6	57.4
After	59.6	56.4	52.9	51.8	51.5	74.3	71.1	54.7	58.2
Range									
Before	58.4	55.7	52.2	51.5	50.8	73.0	70.4	54.6	57.4
After	54.2	51.3	48.1	47.1	46.8	67.5	64.6	49.7	52.9
Elasticity of range	-0.72	-0.79	-0.79	-0.86	-0.78	-0.75	-0.82	-0.89	-0.78

Note: Range is calculated as the ratio of the predicted incremental travel time to the required incremental travel time for any given intermediate stop. The range elasticity is the calculated as the percentage change in range divided by the percentage change in required travel time. It is calculated as arc elasticity for a 10% across the board increase in travel time for all available modes to all available stop locations. We expect range to decrease as required travel time increases (elasticity<0), but not to the extent that the resulting predicted travel time actually decreases (elasticity>-.91).

Table A3.30: Elasticity of range with respect to required travel time—by stop purpose

Predicted chosen travel time (min)	Intermediate stop purpose								
	Work	Grade Sch	Univ	Esco (HH w/ No Kids)	Esco Kids	Pers Bus	Shop	Meal	Soc Rec
Before	119.3	82.9	58.1	63.5	51.8	61.7	40.1	48.2	71.1
After	126.2	85.2	61.3	64.3	51.5	62.3	40.7	49	72.2
Range									
Before	119.3	82.9	58.1	63.5	51.8	61.7	40.1	48.2	71.1
After	114.7	77.5	55.7	58.5	46.8	56.6	37.0	44.5	65.6
Elasticity of range	-0.38	-0.66	-0.41	-0.79	-0.96	-0.82	-0.77	-0.76	-0.77

Table A3.31: Elasticity of range with respect to required travel time—by tour mode

Predicted chosen travel time (min)	Tour mode						
	Auto1	Auto2	Auto3+	TranA	TranW	Bike	Walk
Before	56.6	53.8	53.3	81.8	153.6	41.2	51.7
After	58	54.4	53.4	85.4	156.4	41.2	51.7
Range							
Before	56.6	53.8	53.3	81.8	153.6	41.2	51.7
After	52.7	49.5	48.5	77.6	142.2	37.5	47.0
Elasticity of range	-0.68	-0.81	-0.89	-0.51	-0.74	-0.91	-0.91

Table A3.32: Elasticity of range with respect to required travel time—by time of day

Predicted chosen travel time (min)	Time of day			
	AM Pk	Midday	PM Pk	Other
Before	57.3	58.8	56.6	52.2
After	58.1	59.7	57.4	52.6
Range				
Before	57.3	58.8	56.6	52.2
After	52.8	54.3	52.2	47.8
Elasticity of range	-0.78	-0.77	-0.78	-0.84

Table A3.33: Elasticity of range with respect to required travel time—by tour purpose

Predicted chosen travel time (min)	Tour purpose		
	Work	School	Non-Mand
Before	63.8	72.1	50.3
After	65.6	73.3	50.5
Range			
Before	63.8	72.1	50.3
After	59.6	66.6	45.9
Elasticity of range	-0.65	-0.76	-0.87

Table A3.34: Elasticity of range with respect to required travel time—by XY distance from stop origin to tour origin

Predicted chosen travel time (min)	Distance from SO to TO				
	gt 30 miles	10-30 mi	5-10 mi	2-5 mi	0-2 mi
Before	38.5	44.3	50	57.4	69.5
After	39.3	45	50.7	58.1	70.7
Range					
Before	38.5	44.3	50.0	57.4	69.5
After	35.7	40.9	46.1	52.8	64.3
Elasticity of range	-0.72	-0.77	-0.78	-0.80	-0.75

Table A3.35: Elasticity of range with respect to required travel time—for miscellaneous trip characteristics

Predicted chosen travel time (min)	Miscellaneous trip characteristics						
	Car competition	Mult stops	Sec tour	Work based tour	shop on shop tour	female w kids	Before mandatory dest
Before	56.9	59.8	51.7	53.3	40.3	49.7	61.5
After	57.8	60.7	51.7	53.1	40.9	49.7	63
Range							
Before	56.9	59.8	51.7	53.3	40.3	49.7	61.5
After	52.5	55.2	47.0	48.3	37.2	45.2	57.3
Elasticity of range	-0.77	-0.77	-0.91	-0.94	-0.77	-0.91	-0.69

